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(1)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrr}
x_{3} & = & 1 & -x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
x_{4} & = & -1 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} \\
x_{5} & = & -4 & +3 x_{1} & +2 x_{2} \\
\hline z & = & & 3 x_{1} & +x_{2}
\end{array}
$$

This is not feasible; we use the two-phase method. Introduce $x_{0}$ and maximize $w=-x_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
x_{3} & = & 1 & -x_{1} & -x_{2} & +x_{0} \\
x_{4} & = & -1 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} & +x_{0} \\
x_{5} & = & -4 & +3 x_{1} & +2 x_{2} & +x_{0} \\
\hline w & = & & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

The most negative variable is $x_{5}$, so $x_{0}$ enters and $x_{5}$ leaves.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrr}
x_{0} & = & 4 & -3 x_{1} & -2 x_{2} & +x_{5} \\
x_{3} & = & 5 & -4 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{5} \\
x_{4} & = & 3 & -5 x_{1} & -x_{2} & +x_{5} \\
\hline w & = & -4 & +3 x_{1} & +2 x_{2} & -x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

$x_{1}$ enters and $x_{4}$ leaves:

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrrl}
x_{0} & = & 11 / 5 & -(7 / 5) x_{2} & +(3 / 5) x_{4} & +(2 / 5) x_{5} \\
x_{1} & = & 3 / 5 & -(1 / 5) x_{2} & -(1 / 5) x_{4} & +(1 / 5) x_{5} \\
x_{3} & = & 13 / 5 & -(11 / 5) x_{2} & +(4 / 5) x_{4} & +(1 / 5) x_{5} \\
\hline w & = & -11 / 5 & +(7 / 5) x_{2} & -(3 / 5) x_{4} & -(2 / 5) x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

$x_{2}$ enters and $x_{3}$ leaves

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlll}
x_{0} & = & 6 / 11 & +(7 / 11) x_{3} & +(1 / 11) x_{4} & +(3 / 11) x_{5} \\
x_{1} & = & 4 / 11 & +(1 / 11) x_{3} & -(3 / 11) x_{4} & +(2 / 11) x_{5} \\
x_{2} & = & 13 / 11 & -(5 / 11) x_{3} & +(4 / 11) x_{4} & +(1 / 11) x_{5} \\
\hline w & = & -6 / 11 & -(7 / 11) x_{3} & -(1 / 11) x_{4} & -(3 / 11) x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

The maximum value of $w=-6 / 11$. Hence the minimum value of $x_{0}=6 / 11$. Since this is non-zero, we conclude that the original LP problem is not feasible.

[^0](2) Again, we introduce slacks and then $x_{0}$ to the right-hand-sides.
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
x_{2} & = & 7 & -x_{1} & +x_{0} \\
x_{3} & = & -1 & +x_{1} & +x_{0} \\
x_{4} & = & -4 & +x_{1} & +x_{0} \\
\hline w & = & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$
\]

(You could omit the $x_{0}$ from the $x_{2}$ line; the dictionaries would be slightly different.) We pivot $x_{0}$ in and $x_{4}$, which has the most negative constant, leaves.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrll}
x_{2} & = & 11 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{4} \\
x_{3} & = & 3 & & +x_{4} \\
x_{0} & = & 4 & -x_{1} & +x_{4} \\
\hline w & = & -4 & +x_{1} & -x_{4}
\end{array}
$$

So $x_{1}$ enters and $x_{0}$ leaves.

\[

\]

So $x_{0}$ is eliminated and we bring in the old objective:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x_{2} & = & 3 & -x_{4} \\
x_{3} & = & 3 & +x_{4} \\
x_{1} & = & 4 & +x_{4} \\
\hline z & = & 12 & +3 x_{4}
\end{array}
$$

So $x_{4}$ enters and $x_{2}$ leaves and we get:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrr}
x_{4} & = & 3 & -x_{2} \\
x_{3} & =6 & -x_{2} \\
x_{1} & =7 & -x_{2} \\
\hline z & =21 & -3 x_{2}
\end{array}
$$

This is a final dictionary, so we are done.
Had we pivoted $x_{3}$ instead of $x_{0}$ into the first dictionary, we would have obtained

$$
\begin{array}{llrrl}
x_{2} & = & 8 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{3} \\
x_{0} & = & 1 & -x_{1} & +x_{3} \\
x_{4} & = & -3 & & +x_{3} \\
\hline z & = & -1 & +x_{1} & -x_{3}
\end{array}
$$

In this case we get a negative constant in the $x_{4}$ row.
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