


# Branch & Bound, CSP: Intro

CPSC 322 – CSP 1

Textbook § 3.7.4 & 4.0-4.2

January 28, 2011


# Lecture Overview



## Recap


- Branch & Bound
- Wrap up of search module
- Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs)

# Recap: state space **graph** vs search **tree**



State space graph.

May contain cycles!




Search tree.

**Nodes** in this tree correspond to **paths in the state space graph**

(if multiple start nodes: forest)

Cannot contain cycles!

# Multiple Path Pruning



- If we only want one path to the solution:
  - Can prune new path  $p$  (e.g.  $sabcn$ ) to node  $n$  we already reached on a previous path  $p'$  (e.g.  $san$ )
- To guarantee optimality, either:
  - If  $\text{cost}(p) < \text{cost}(p')$ 
    - Remove all paths from frontier with prefix  $p'$ , or
    - Replace prefixes in those paths (replace  $p'$  with  $p$ )
  - Or prove that your algorithm always finds optimal path first

## Prove that your algorithm always find the optimal path first

- “Whenever search algorithm A expands a path p ending in node n, this is the lowest-cost path from a start node to n (if all costs  $\geq 0$ )”
  - This is true for


Least Cost Search First

A\*

Both of them

None of them

- In general, true only for Least Cost First Search (LCFS)
- Counterexample for A\* below: A\* expands the upper path first
  - But can recover LCFS’s guarantee with **monotone heuristic**:  
h is monotone if for all arcs (m,n):  $|h(m) - h(n)| \leq \text{cost}(m,n)$



# Iterative Deepening DFS (IDS)

- Depth-bounded depth-first search: **DFS on a leash**
  - For depth bound  $d$ , ignore any paths with longer length
- Progressively increase the depth bound  $d$ 
  - 1, 2, 3, ..., until you find the solution at depth  $m$
- Space complexity:  $O(bm)$ 
  - At every depth bound, it's just a DFS
- Time complexity:  $O(b^m)$ 
  - Overhead of small depth bounds is not large compared to work at greater depths
- Optimal: yes
- Complete: yes
- Same idea works for **f-value-bounded DFS: IDA\***

# Lecture Overview

- Recap



## Branch & Bound

- Wrap up of search module
- Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs)






# Branch-and-Bound Search

- One more way to combine DFS with heuristic guidance
- Follows exactly the same search path as **depth-first search**
  - But to ensure optimality, it **does not stop at the first solution found**
- It continues, after recording **upper bound** on solution cost
  - **upper bound:  $UB$**  = cost of the best solution found so far
  - Initialized to  $\infty$  or any **overestimate** of optimal solution cost
- When a path  $p$  is selected for expansion:
  - Compute lower bound  **$LB(p) = f(p) = \text{cost}(p) + h(p)$** 
    - If  **$LB(p) \geq UB$** , remove  $p$  from frontier without expanding it (pruning)
    - Else expand  $p$ , adding all of its neighbors to the frontier
  - Requires admissible  $h$


- Arc cost = 1
- $h(n) = 0$  for every  $n$

• UB =  $\infty$




- Arc cost = 1
- $h(n) = 0$  for every  $n$

• UB = 5




- Arc cost = 1
- $h(n) = 0$  for every  $n$

• UB = 5



- Arc cost = 1
- $h(n) = 0$  for every  $n$

• UB = 3



# Branch-and-Bound Analysis

- Complete?

**YES**

**NO**

**IT DEPENDS**

- Same as DFS: can't handle cycles/infinite graphs.
- But complete if initialized with some finite UB

- Optimal?

**YES**

**NO**

**IT DEPENDS**

- YES.

- Time complexity:  $O(b^m)$

- Space complexity

- It's a DFS

$O(b^m)$

$O(m^b)$

$O(bm)$

$O(b+m)$

# Combining B&B with other schemes

- “Follows the same search path as **depth-first search**”
  - Let's make that **heuristic** depth-first search
- Can freely choose order to put neighbours on the stack
  - Could e.g. use a separate heuristic  $h'$  that is NOT admissible
- To compute  $LB(p)$ 
  - Need to compute  $f$  value using an admissible heuristic  $h$
- This combination is **used a lot in practice**
  - Sudoku solver in assignment 2 will be along those lines
  - But also integrates some logical reasoning at each node

# Search methods so far

	Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
DFS	N (Y if no cycles)	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$
BFS	Y	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
IDS	Y	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$
LCFS (when arc costs available)	Y Costs > 0	Y Costs >=0	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
Best First (when $h$ available)	N	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
A* (when arc costs and $h$ available)	Y Costs > 0 $h$ admissible	Y Costs >=0 $h$ admissible	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
IDA*	Y (same cond. as A*)	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$
Branch & Bound	N (Y if init. with finite UB)	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$



# Lecture Overview

- Recap
- Branch & Bound




Wrap up of search module

- Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs)


# Direction of Search

- The definition of searching is symmetric:
  - find path from start nodes to goal node or
  - **from goal node to start nodes** (in reverse graph)
- Restrictions:
  - This presumes an **explicit goal node**, not a goal test
  - When the graph is **dynamically constructed**, it can sometimes be impossible to construct the backwards graph
- Branching factors:
  - **Forward branching factor**: number of arcs out of a node
  - **Backward branching factor**: number of arcs into a node
- Search complexity is  $O(b^m)$ 
  - Should use forward search if forward branching factor is less than backward branching factor, and vice versa



# Bidirectional search

- You can search backward from the goal and forward from the start **simultaneously**
  - This wins because  $2b^{k/2}$  is much smaller than  $b^k$
  - Can result in an **exponential saving** in time and space
- The main problem is making sure the **frontiers meet**
  - Often used with one breadth-first method that builds a set of locations that can lead to the goal
  - In the other direction another method can be used to find a path to these interesting locations



# Dynamic Programming

- Idea: for statically stored graphs, build a table of  $\text{dist}(n)$ :
  - The **actual distance** of the shortest path from node  $n$  to a goal  $g$

–  $\text{dist}(g) = 0$

–  $\text{dist}(z) = 1$

–  $\text{dist}(c) = 3$


–  $\text{dist}(b) = 4$

–  $\text{dist}(k) = ?$

<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	$\infty$
----------	----------	----------

–  $\text{dist}(z) = ?$

<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	$\infty$
----------	----------	----------



- How could we implement that?
  - Run Dijkstra's algorithm (LCFS with multiple path pruning) in the backwards graph, starting from the goal
- When it's time to act: always pick neighbour with lowest dist value
  - But you need enough space to store the graph...

# Memory-bounded $A^*$

- Iterative deepening  $A^*$  and B & B use little memory
- What if we have **some more memory** (but not enough for regular  $A^*$ )?
  - Do  $A^*$  and keep as much of the frontier in memory as possible
  - When running out of memory
    - delete worst path (highest  $f$  value) from frontier
    - Back the path up to a common ancestor
    - Subtree gets regenerated only when all other paths have been shown to be worse than the “forgotten” path
- Complete and optimal if solution is at depth manageable for available memory

# Algorithms Often Used in Practice

	Selection	Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
<b>DFS</b>	LIFO	N	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$
<b>BFS</b>	FIFO	Y	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
<b>IDS</b>	LIFO	Y	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$
<b>LCFS</b>	min cost	Y**	Y**	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
<b>Best First</b>	min h	N	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
<b>A*</b>	min f	Y**	Y**	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$
<b>B&amp;B</b>	LIFO + pruning	N (Y if UB finite)	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$
<b>IDA*</b>	LIFO	Y	Y	$O(b^m)$	$O(mb)$
<b>MBA*</b>	min f	Y**	Y**	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^m)$

\*\* Needs conditions

# Learning Goals for search

- **Identify** real world examples that make use of deterministic, goal-driven search agents
- **Assess** the size of the search space of a given search problem.
- **Implement** the generic solution to a search problem.
- **Apply** basic properties of search algorithms:
  - completeness, optimality, time and space complexity
- **Select** the most appropriate search algorithms for specific problems.
- **Define/read/write/trace/debug** different search algorithms
- **Construct** heuristic functions for specific search problems
- **Formally prove** A\* optimality.
- **Define optimally** efficient

# Learning goals: know how to fill this

	Selection	Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
DFS					
BFS					
IDS					
LCFS					
Best First					
A*					
B&B					
IDA*					





# Course Overview

Course Module

## Environment

Deterministic

Stochastic

*Representation*

Reasoning  
Technique

## Problem Type

Constraint  
Satisfaction

Arc  
Consistency  
*Variables +* Search  
*Constraints*

Static

Logic

*Logics*

Search

*Bayesian  
Networks*

Variable  
Elimination

Uncertainty

Sequential

Planning

*STRIPS*

Search

*Decision  
Networks*

Variable  
Elimination

Decision  
Theory

*Markov Processes*

Value  
Iteration

Search is  
everywhere!

# Lecture Overview

- Recap
- Branch & Bound
- Wrap up of search module



Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs)

# Course Overview

Course Module

## Environment

Deterministic

Stochastic

*Representation*

Reasoning  
Technique

## Problem Type

Constraint  
Satisfaction

Arc  
Consistency  
*Variables + Constraints*  
Search

Logic

*Logics*  
Search

*Bayesian  
Networks*

Variable  
Elimination

Uncertainty

Sequential

Planning

*STRIPS*  
Search

*Decision  
Networks*

Variable  
Elimination

Decision  
Theory

We'll now  
focus on CSP

*Markov Processes*

Value  
Iteration

# Main Representational Dimensions (Lecture 2)

Domains can be classified by the following dimensions:

- 1. **Uncertainty**
  - Deterministic vs. stochastic domains
- 2. **How many actions** does the agent need to perform?
  - Static vs. sequential domains

An important design choice is:

- 3. **Representation scheme**
  - Explicit **states vs. features** (vs. relations)

# Explicit State vs. Features (Lecture 2)

How do we model the environment?

- You can enumerate the possible **states** of the world
- A state can be described in terms of **features**
  - **Assignment to** (one or more) **variables**
  - Often the more natural description
  - 30 binary features can represent  $2^{30} = 1,073,741,824$  states

# Variables/Features and Possible Worlds

- Variable: a synonym for feature
  - We denote variables using capital letters
  - Each variable  $V$  has a domain  $\text{dom}(V)$  of possible values
- Variables can be of several main kinds:
  - Boolean:  $|\text{dom}(V)| = 2$
  - Finite:  $|\text{dom}(V)|$  is finite
  - Infinite but discrete: the domain is countably infinite
  - Continuous: e.g., real numbers between 0 and 1
- Possible world
  - Complete assignment of values to each variable
  - In contrast, states also include partial assignments

# Examples: variables, domains, possible worlds

- **Crossword Puzzle:**

- variables are words that have to be filled in
- domains are English words of correct length
- possible worlds: all ways of assigning words




- **Crossword 2:**

- variables are cells (individual squares)
- domains are letters of the alphabet
- possible worlds: all ways of assigning letters to cells

# How many possible worlds?

- **Crossword Puzzle:**

- variables are words that have to be filled in
- domains are English words of correct length
- possible worlds: all ways of assigning words



- Number of English words? Let's say 150,000
  - Of the right length? Assume for simplicity: 15,000 for each word
- Number of words to be filled in? 63
- How many possible worlds? (assume any combination is ok)

$$150000 * 63$$

$$150000^{63}$$


$$63^{150000}$$



# How many possible worlds?

- **Crossword 2:**

- variables are cells (individual squares)
- domains are letters of the alphabet
- possible worlds: all ways of assigning letters to cells



- Number of empty cells?  $15 \cdot 15 - 32 = 193$
- Number of letters in the alphabet? 26
- 
- How many possible worlds? (assume any combination is ok)

$$193 \cdot 26$$

$$193^{26}$$

$$26^{193}$$

- In general: (domain size) <sup>#variables</sup> (only an upper bound)

# Examples: variables, domains, possible worlds

Sudoku rules are extremely easy: Fill all empty squares so that the numbers 1 to 9 appear once in each row, column and 3x3 box.

Sudoku Puzzle

	9	3	6	2	8	1	4	
	6						5	
	3			1			9	
	5		8		2		7	
	4			7			6	
	8							3
	1	7	5	9	3	4	2	

Sudoku Solution

2	7	1	9	5	4	6	8	3
5	9	3	6	2	8	1	4	7
4	6	8	1	3	7	2	5	9
7	3	6	4	1	5	8	9	2
1	5	9	8	6	2	3	7	4
8	4	2	3	7	9	5	6	1
9	8	5	2	4	1	7	3	6
6	1	7	5	9	3	4	2	8
3	2	4	7	8	6	9	1	5

- **Sudoku**
  - variables are cells
  - domains are numbers between 1 and 9
  - possible worlds: all ways of assigning numbers to cells


# Examples: variables, domains, possible worlds

- **Scheduling Problem:**

- variables are different tasks that need to be scheduled (e.g., course in a university; job in a machine shop)
- domains are the different combinations of times and locations for each task (e.g., time/room for course; time/machine for job)
- possible worlds: time/location assignments for each task

- **n-Queens problem**

- variable: location of a queen on a chess board
  - there are n of them in total, hence the name
- domains: grid coordinates
- possible worlds: locations of all queens



# Constraints

- Constraints are **restrictions** on the values that one or more variables can take
  - **Unary constraint**: restriction involving a single variable
    - of course, we could also achieve the same thing by using a smaller domain in the first place
  - **k-ary constraint**: restriction involving k different variables
    - We will mostly deals with binary constraints
  - Constraints can be specified by
    1. **listing all combinations of valid domain values** for the variables participating in the constraint
    2. giving a **function** that returns true when given values for each variable which satisfy the constraint
- A possible world **satisfies** a set of constraints
  - if the values for the variables involved in each constraint are consistent with that constraint
    1. Elements of the list of valid domain values
    2. Function returns true for those values

# Examples: variables, domains, constraints

- **Crossword Puzzle:**

- variables are words that have to be filled in
- domains are English words of correct length
- constraints: words have the same letters at points where they intersect



- **Crossword 2:**

- variables are cells (individual squares)
- domains are letters of the alphabet
- constraints: sequences of letters form valid English words

# Examples: variables, domains, constraints

Sudoku rules are extremely easy: Fill all empty squares so that the numbers 1 to 9 appear once in each row, column and 3x3 box.

Sudoku Puzzle

	9	3	6	2	8	1	4	
	6						5	
	3			1				9
	5		8		2			7
	4			7				6
	8							3
	1	7	5	9	3	4	2	

Sudoku Solution

2	7	1	9	5	4	6	8	3
5	9	3	6	2	8	1	4	7
4	6	8	1	3	7	2	5	9
7	3	6	4	1	5	8	9	2
1	5	9	8	6	2	3	7	4
8	4	2	3	7	9	5	6	1
9	8	5	2	4	1	7	3	6
6	1	7	5	9	3	4	2	8
3	2	4	7	8	6	9	1	5

- **Sudoku**
  - variables are cells
  - domains are numbers between 1 and 9
  - constraints: rows, columns, boxes contain all different numbers


# Examples: variables, domains, constraints

- **Scheduling Problem:**

- variables are different tasks that need to be scheduled (e.g., course in a university; job in a machine shop)
- domains are the different combinations of times and locations for each task (e.g., time/room for course; time/machine for job)
- constraints: tasks can't be scheduled in the same location at the same time; certain tasks can't be scheduled in different locations at the same time; some tasks must come earlier than others; etc.

- **n-Queens problem**

- variable: location of a queen on a chess board
  - there are n of them in total, hence the name
- domains: grid coordinates
- constraints: no queen can attack another



# Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Definition

Definition:

A **constraint satisfaction problem (CSP)** consists of:

- a set of **variables**
- a **domain** for each variable
- a set of **constraints**

Definition:

A **model** of a CSP is an assignment of values to all of its variables that **satisfies** all of its constraints.



# Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Variants

- We may want to solve the following problems with a CSP:
  - determine whether or not a model **exists**
  - **find** a model
  - **find all** of the models
  - **count** the number of models
  - find the **best** model, given some measure of model quality
    - this is now an optimization problem
  - determine whether some **property of the variables** holds in all models

# Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Game Plan

- Even the simplest problem of determining whether or not a model exists in a general CSP with finite domains is **NP-hard**
  - There is no known algorithm with worst case polynomial runtime
  - We can't hope to find an algorithm that is efficient for all CSPs
- However, we can try to:
  - **identify special cases** for which algorithms are efficient (polynomial)
  - work on **approximation algorithms** that can find good solutions quickly, even though they may offer no theoretical guarantees
  - find algorithms that are fast on **typical** cases

# Learning Goals for CSP so far

- Define possible worlds in term of variables and their domains
  - Compute number of possible worlds on real examples
  - Specify constraints to represent real world problems differentiating between:
    - Unary and k-ary constraints
    - List vs. function format
  - Verify whether a possible world satisfies a set of constraints (i.e., whether it is a model, a solution)
- 
- Coming up: CSP as search
    - Read Sections 4.3-2
  - Get busy with assignment 1