

Propositional Satisfiability ~~and Constraint Satisfaction~~

(preliminary slide set based on a presentation by Suling Yang)

The SAT Problem

- Given a propositional formula F , decide whether there exists an assignment a of truth values to the variables in F such that F is true under a .
- SAT algorithms are typically restricted to CNF formulae as input; these arise naturally in many applications of SAT (in other cases, CNF transformations are used)

Polynomial Simplifications

- Elimination of duplicate literals and clauses:
 - E.g. $(a \vee b \vee a) \wedge (a \vee b) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee b) = (a \vee b)$
- Elimination of tautological clauses:
 - E.g. $(a \vee \neg a) = T$
- Elimination of subsumed clauses:
 - E.g. $(a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee b \vee c) = (a \vee b)$
- Elimination of clauses containing pure literals

Unit Propagation

- *Unit clause*: a clause consisting of only a single literal.
 - E.g. $(a) \vee (\neg a \vee b)$
- *Unit Resolution*:
 - E.g. $(a) \vee (\neg a \vee b) = (b)$
- *Complete unit propagation*: repeat application of unit resolution until:
 - no more unit clause, or
 - empty clause, or
 - no more clauses.

Practical Applications of SAT

- Hardware verification:
Bounded Model Checking (BMC)
- Asynchronous circuit design:
Complete State Coding (CSC) Problem in State Transition
Graphs (STGs)
- Sports scheduling problems:
Finding fair schedules for basket ball tournaments

Generalisations and Related Problems

- Constraint Satisfaction Problems, in particular:
 - Multi-Valued SAT (MVSAT)
 - Pseudo-Boolean CSPs
- MAX-SAT (unweighted and weighted)
- Dynamic SAT (DynSAT)
- Propositional Validity Problem (VAL)
- Satisfiability of Quantified Boolean Formulae (QSAT)
- #SAT

The GSAT Architecture

- Based on 1-exchange neighbourhood
- Evaluation function $g(F, a)$ maps each variable assignment a to the number of clauses of the given formula F unsatisfied under a (note: $g(F, m) = 0$ iff m is a model of F)
- GSAT algorithms differ primarily in the method used for selecting the variable to be flipped in each step
- Initialisation: Random picking from space of all variable assignments.

The Basic GSAT Algorithm

procedure *GSAT*(F , $maxTries$, $maxSteps$)

input: CNF formula F , positive integers $maxTries$ and $maxSteps$

output: model of F or 'no solution found'

for $try := 1$ **to** $maxTries$ **do**

$a :=$ randomly chosen assignment of the variables in formula F ;

for $step := 1$ **to** $maxSteps$ **do**

if a satisfies F **then return** a **end**

$x :=$ randomly selected variable flipping which minimizes the
 number of unsatisfied clauses;

$a := a$ with x flipped;

end

end

return 'no solution found'

end *GSAT*

Basic GSAT (1)

- Simple *iterative best improvement* procedure: in each step, a variable is flipped such that a maximal decrease in the number of unsatisfied clauses is achieved, (breaking ties uniformly at random)
- Uses *static restart mechanism* to escape from local minima
- Terminates when a model has been found, or maxTries sequences of maxSteps variable flips have been performed without finding a model

Basic GSAT (2)

- For any fixed number of restarts, GSAT is *essentially incomplete*; severe stagnation behaviour is observed on most SAT instances
- Provided the basis for many more powerful SLS algorithms for SAT

The GWSAT Algorithm

procedure *GWSAT*(*F*, *maxTries*, *maxSteps*)

input: CNF formula *F*, positive integers *maxTries* and *maxSteps*

output: model of *F* or ‘no solution found’

for *try* := 1 **to** *maxTries* **do**

a := randomly chosen assignment of the variables in formula *F*;

for *step* := 1 **to** *maxSteps* **do**

if *a* satisfies *F* **then return** *a* **end**

with probability $1-wp$: select a variable whose flip minimizes the number of unsatisfied clauses

otherwise: choose a variable appearing in an unsatisfied clause uniformly at random

a := *a* with *x* flipped;

end

end

return ‘no solution found’

end *GWSAT*

GSAT with Random Walk (GWSAT)

- *Randomised best-improvement procedure* – incorporates *conflict-directed random walk steps* with probability wp
- Allows arbitrarily long sequences of random walk steps; this implies that from arbitrary assignment, a model can be reached with a positive, bounded probability, *i.e.*, GWSAT is PAC
- Uses the same static restart mechanism as Basic GSAT

GSAT with Random Walk (continued)

- Substantially outperforms Basic GSAT
- Does not suffer from stagnation behaviour with sufficiently high noise setting; shows exponential RTDs
- For low noise settings, stagnation behaviour is frequently observed

The WalkSAT Architecture

- Based on *2-stage variable selection process* focused on the variables occurring in currently unsatisfied clauses:
 - 1st stage: A clause c that is unsatisfied under the current assignment is selected uniformly at random.
 - 2nd stage: one of the variables appearing in c is flipped to obtain the new assignment.
- Dynamically determined subset of the GSAT neighbourhood relation – substantially reduced effective neighbourhood size
- Random initialisation and static random restart mechanism as in GSAT

WalkSAT Algorithm Outline

procedure *WalkSAT*(F , $maxTries$, $maxSteps$, slc)

input: CNF formula F , positive integers $maxTries$ and $maxSteps$,
heuristic function slc

output: model of F **or** ‘no solution found’

for $try := 1$ **to** $maxTries$ **do**

$a :=$ randomly chosen assignment of the variables in formula F ;

for $step := 1$ **to** $maxSteps$ **do**

if a satisfies F **then return** a **end**

$c :=$ randomly selected clause unsatisfied under a ;

$x :=$ variable selected from c according to heuristic function slc ;

$a := a$ with x flipped;

end

end

return ‘no solution found’

end *WalkSAT*

Novelty

- Uses a *history-based variable selection mechanism*; based on *age*, *i.e.*, the number of local search steps that have been performed since a variable was last flipped.
- Uses the same scoring function as GSAT.
- Variable selection scheme:
 - If the variable with the highest score does not have minimal age among the variables within the same clause, it is always selected.
 - Otherwise, it is only selected with probability of $1-p$, where p is a parameter called *noise setting*.
 - In the remaining cases, the variable with the second-highest score is selected.

Novelty (2)

- Novelty always chooses between the best and second best variable in the selected clause
- Compared to WalkSAT/SKC, Novelty is greedier and more deterministic
- Novelty often performs substantially better than WalkSAT/SKC, but it is *essentially incomplete* and sometimes shows extreme stagnation behaviour.

Novelty⁺

- By extending Novelty with *conflict-directed random walk* analogously to GWSAT, the essential incompleteness as well as the empirically observed stagnation behaviour can be overcome.
- With probability $1-wp$, Novelty⁺ selects the variable to be flipped according to the standard Novelty mechanism; otherwise, it performs a random walk step.
- Novelty⁺ is provably PAC for $wp > 0$ and shows exponential RTDs for sufficiently high setting of the primary noise parameter p .

WalkSAT with Adaptive Noise

- The performance of WalkSAT algorithms such as Novelty⁺ critically depends on noise parameter setting
- Optimal noise setting depend on the given problem instance and are typically rather difficult to determine
- *Adaptive WalkSAT* use high noise values only when they are needed to escape from stagnation situations.

Dynamic Local Search Algorithms for SAT

- Most DLS algorithms for SAT are based on variants of GSAT as their underlying local search procedure.
- The penalty associated with clause c , $clp(c)$, is updated in each iteration.

- Evaluation function:
$$g'(F, a) := g(F, a) + \sum_{c \in CU(F, a)} clp(c)$$

- Or equivalently:
$$clw(c) := clp(c) + 1$$
$$g'(F, a) := \sum_{c \in CU(F, a)} clw(c)$$

GSAT with Clause Weights

- Weights associated with clauses are initially set to one; before each restart, the weights of all currently unsatisfied clauses are increased by one.
- Underlying local search procedure: a variant of basic GSAT that uses the modified evaluation function.
- Begins each local search phase from a randomly selected variable assignment (different from other DLS methods).
- Performs substantially better than basic GSAT on some instances; with GWSAT as underlying local search procedure, further performance improvements can be achieved.

Exponentiated Subgradient Algorithm (ESG)

- Based on a simple variant of GSAT that in each step selects a variable appearing in a currently unsatisfied clauses whose flip leads to a maximal reduction in the total weight of unsatisfied clauses
- *Scaling stage*: weights of all clauses are multiplied by a factor depending on their satisfaction status.
- *Smoothing stage*: all clause weights are smoothed using the formula $clw(c) := clw(c) \cdot \rho + (1 - \rho) \cdot \bar{w}$
- **Note**: Weight update steps are computationally much more expensive than the weighted search steps.

Scaling and Probabilistic Smoothing (SAPS)

- *Scaling stage* is restricted to the weights of currently unsatisfied clauses; *smoothing* is only performed with a certain probability.
- By applying the expensive smoothing operation only occasionally, the time complexity of the weight update procedure can be substantially reduced.
- Compared to ESG, SAPS typically requires a similar number of variable flips for finding a model of a given formula, but in terms of time performance it is significantly superior to ESG, DLM, and best known WalkSAT variants(except for Novelty⁺, which performs better in some cases).