# CPSC 213

# **Introduction to Computer Systems**

### Unit 1d Static Control Flow

# **Readings for Next 2 Lectures**

### Textbook

- Condition Codes Loops
- 3.6.1-3.6.5

# **Control Flow**

### The flow of control is

• the sequence of instruction executions performed by a program

• every program execution can be described by such a linear sequence

### Controlling flow in languages like Java

### LOOPS (S5-loop)

In Java

```
public class Foo {
   static int s = 0;
   static int i;
   static int a[] = new int[10];
   static void foo () {
    for (i=0; i<10; i++)
        s += a[i];
   }
}</pre>
```

In C

```
int s=0;
int i;
int a[] = {2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20};
void foo () {
  for (i=0; i<10; i++)
     s += a[i];
}
```

# Implement loops in machine

```
int s=0;
int i;
int a[] = {2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20};
void foo () {
  for (i=0; i<10; i++)
     s += a[i];
}
```

Can we implement *this* loop with the existing ISA?

# Loop unrolling

### Using array syntax

```
int s=0;
int i;
int a[10] = {2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20};
void foo () {
    i = 0;
    s += a[i];
    i++;
    s += a[i];
    i++;
    ...
    s += a[i];
    i++;
}
```

Using pointer-arithmetic syntax for access to a?

### Will this technique generalize

• will it work for all loops? why or why not?

### **Control-Flow ISA Extensions**

### Conditional branches

• goto <address> if <condition>

### Options for evaluating condition

- unconditional
- conditional based on value of a register (==0, >0 etc.)
  - goto <address> if <register> <condition> 0
- conditional check result of last executed ALU instruction
  - goto <address> if last ALU result <condition> 0

### Specifying target address

- absolute 32-bit address
  - this requires a 6 byte instruction, which means jumps have high overhead
  - is this a serious problem? how would you decide?
  - are jumps for for/while/if etc. different from jumps for procedure call?

# **PC Relative Addressing**

### Motivation

- jumps are common and so we want to make them as fast as possible
- small instructions are faster than large ones, so make some jumps be two bytes

### Observation

- some jumps such as for/while/if etc. normally jump to a nearby instruction
- so the jump distance can be described by a small number that could fit in a byte

### PC Relative Addressing

- specifies jump target as a delta from address of current instruction (actually next)
- in the execute stage *pc register* stores the address of next sequential instruction
- the pc-relative jump delta is applied to the value of the pc register
  - jumping with a delta of 0 jumps to the next instruction
- jump instructions that use pc-relative addressing are called *branches*

#### Absolute Addressing

- specifies jump target using full 32-bit address
- use when the jump distance too large to fit in a byte

# ISA for Static Control Flow (part 1)

### ISA requirement (apparently)

- at least one PC-relative jump
  - specify relative distance using real distance / 2 why?
- at least one absolute jump
- some conditional jumps (at least = and > 0)
  - make these PC-relative why?

#### New instructions (so far)

Name	Semantics	Assembly	Machine
branch	pc ← (a==pc+oo*2)	br a	8-00
branch if equal	pc ← (a==pc+oo*2) if r[c]==0	beg rc, a	9coo
branch if greater	pc ← (a==pc+oo*2) if r[c]>0	bgt r <b>c,</b> a	acoo
jump	pc ← a	ја	<b>b</b> aaaaaaaa

# Implementing for loops (S5-loop)

```
for (i=0; i<10; i++)
   s += a[i];</pre>
```

### General form

• in C and Java

```
for (<init>; <continue-condition>; <step>) <statement-block>
```

pseudo-code template

### This example

• pseudo code template

```
i=0
goto end_loop if not (i<10)
s+=a[i]
i++
goto loop
end_loop:</pre>
```

ISA suggest two transformations

- only conditional branches we have compared to 0, not 10
- no need to store i and s in memory in each loop iteration, so use temp\_ to indicate this

```
temp_i=0
temp_s=0
loop: temp_t=temp_i-10
goto end_loop if temp_t==0
temp_s+=a[temp_i]
temp_i++
goto loop
end_loop: s=temp_s
i=temp_i
```

loop:	<pre>temp_i=0 temp_s=0 temp_t=temp_i-10 goto end_loop if temp_t==0 temp_s+=a[temp_i]</pre>
end_loop:	temp_i++ goto loop s=temp_s i=temp_i

assembly code

Assume that all variables are global variables

loop: end_loop:	beq ld add inc br ld st	r0, r5 r4, r5 r5, end_loop (r1, r0, 4), r3 r3, r2 r0 loop \$s, r1 r2, 0x0(r1)	<pre># r0 = temp_i = 0 # r1 = address of a[0] # r2 = temp_s = 0 # r4 = -10 # r5 = temp_i # r5 = temp_i-10 # if temp_i=10 goto +4 # r3 = a[temp_i] # temp_s += a[temp_i] # temp_i++ # goto -7 # r1 = address of s # s = temp_s</pre>
	st	r0, 0x4(r1)	# i = temp_i

# Implementing if-then-else (S6-if)

if (a>b)
 max = a;
else
 max = b;

### General form

• in Java and C

- if <condition> <then-statements> else <else-statements>

pseudo-code template

else: then:	<pre>temp_c = not <condition> goto then if (temp_c==0) <else-statements> goto end_if <then-statements></then-statements></else-statements></condition></pre>
end_if:	

### This example

• pseudo-code template

	<pre>temp_a=a temp_b=b temp_c=temp_a-temp_b goto then if (temp_c&gt;0)</pre>
else:	<pre>temp_max=temp_b goto end_if</pre>
then: end_if:	temp_max=temp_a max=temp_max

assembly code

	ld	\$a, r0	# r0 = &a
		$0 \times 0$ (r0), r0	# r0 = a
	ld	\$b, r1	# r1 = &b
	ld	<mark>0x0</mark> (r1), r1	# r1 = b
	mov	r1, r2	# r2 = b
	not	r2	# temp_c = ! b
	inc	r2	$\# \text{ temp}_c = - b$
	add	r0, r2	# temp_c = a-b
	bgt	r2, then	# if (a>b) goto +2
else:	mov	r1, r3	<pre># temp_max = b</pre>
	br	end_if	# goto +1
then:	mov	r0, r3	# temp_max = a
end_if:	ld	\$max <b>,</b> r0	# r0 = &max
	st	r3, <mark>0x0</mark> (r0)	<pre># max = temp_max</pre>

Static Procedure Calls

# Code Examples (S6-static-call)

```
public class A {
   static void ping () {}
}
public class Foo {
   static void foo () {
      A.ping ();
   }
}
```

```
void ping () {}
void foo () {
    ping ();
}
```

### Java

- a *method* is a sub-routine with a name, arguments and local scope
- method *invocation* causes the sub-routine to run with values bound to arguments and with a possible result bound to the invocation

### C

- a *procedure* is ...
- a procedure *call* is ...

# Diagraming a Procedure Call

```
void foo () {
    ping ();
}
```

### Caller

goto ping

-j ping

void ping () {}

### Callee

- do whatever ping does
- goto foo just after call to ping()
   ??????

continue executing

```
Questions
How is RETURN implemented?
It's a jump, but is the address a static property or a dynamic one?
```

# Implementing Procedure Return

### return address is

- the address the procedure jumps to when it completes
- the address of the instruction following the call that caused it to run
- a dynamic property of the program

### questions

- how does procedure know the return address?
- how does it jump to a dynamic address?

### saving the return address

- only the caller knows the address
- so the caller must save it before it makes the call
  - caller will save the return address in r6
    - there is a bit of a problem here if the callee makes a procedure call, more later ...
- we need a new instruction to read the PC
  - we'll call it gpc

### jumping back to return address

• we need new instruction to jump to an address stored in a register

- callee can assume return address is in r6

# ISA for Static Control Flow (part 2)

### New requirements

- read the value of the PC
- jump to a dynamically determined target address

### Complete new set of instructions

Name	Semantics	Assembly	Machine
branch	pc ← (a==pc+oo*2)	br a	8-00
branch if equal	pc ← (a==pc+oo*2) if r[c]==0	beg a	9coo
branch if greater	pc ← (a==pc+oo*2) if r[c]>0	bgt a	acoo
jump	pc ← a	j a	b aaaaaaaa
get pc	r[ <b>d</b> ] ← pc	gpc r <mark>d</mark>	6f-d
indirect jump	pc ← r[t] + (o==pp*2)	j <b>o</b> (r <b>t</b> )	ctpp

# Compiling Procedure Call / Return

void foo () {
 ping ();
}

