Revenue Equivalence

Game Theory Course:
Jackson, Leyton-Brown & Shoham

Revenue Equivalence

- Which auction should an auctioneer choose? To some extent, it doesn't matter...

Theorem (Revenue Equivalence Theorem)

Assume that each of n risk-neutral agents has an independent private valuation for a single good at auction, drawn from a common cumulative distribution $F(v)$. Then any two auction mechanisms in which

- *in equilibrium, the good is always allocated in the same way; and*
- *any agent with valuation $\underline{v}$ has an expected utility of zero;*

both yield the same expected revenue, and both result in any bidder with valuation v making the same expected payment.

First and Second-Price Auctions

- The k^{th} order statistic of a distribution: the expected value of the k^{th}-largest of n draws.
- For n IID draws from $[0, v_{max}]$, the k^{th} order statistic is

$$\frac{n+1-k}{n+1} v_{max}.$$

First and Second-Price Auctions

- The k^{th} order statistic of a distribution: the expected value of the k^{th}-largest of n draws.
- For n IID draws from $[0, v_{max}]$, the k^{th} order statistic is

$$\frac{n+1-k}{n+1} v_{max}.$$

- Thus in a second-price auction, the seller's expected revenue is

$$\frac{n-1}{n+1} v_{max}.$$

First and Second-Price Auctions

- The k^{th} order statistic of a distribution: the expected value of the k^{th}-largest of n draws.
- For n IID draws from $[0, v_{max}]$, the k^{th} order statistic is

$$\frac{n+1-k}{n+1} v_{max}.$$

- Thus in a second-price auction, the seller's expected revenue is

$$\frac{n-1}{n+1} v_{max}.$$

- First and second-price auctions satisfy the requirements of the revenue equivalence theorem
  - every symmetric game has a symmetric equilibrium
  - in a symmetric equilibrium of this auction game, higher bid $\Leftrightarrow$ higher valuation

Applying Revenue Equivalence

- Thus, a bidder in a FPA must bid his expected payment conditional on being the winner of a second-price auction
  - this conditioning will be correct if he does win the FPA; otherwise, his bid doesn't matter anyway
  - if v_i is the high value, there are then $n-1$ other values drawn from the uniform distribution on $[0, v_i]$
  - thus, the expected value of the second-highest bid is the first-order statistic of $n-1$ draws from $[0, v_i]$:

$$\frac{n+1-k}{n+1} v_{max} = \frac{(n-1)+1-(1)}{(n-1)+1}(v_i) = \frac{n-1}{n} v_i$$

- This shows how we derived our earlier claim about n-bidder first-price auctions.