Logarithmically Bounded Space

More new problems that are representative of space bounded complexity classes

Recall Space Bounded Complexity Classes

- A TM has a *read-only input tape* and *work tapes*.
- SPACE(s(n)) languages accepted by deterministic halting TMs that use O(s(n)) work tape cells on inputs of length n.
- NSPACE(s(n)): replace "deterministic" by "nondeterministic".

- Instance: Binary numbers x, y and z
- **Problem**: Is x + y = z?
- Addition is in L (log space) ... why?

- Instance: Binary numbers x, y and z
- **Problem**: ls x + y = z?
- Addition is in L (log space) ... why?
- Given x and y, can we compute the sum x+y in log space?
- Let's be clear about accounting for space in log space function computation

Space bounded function computation

- A TM has
 - a read-only input tape,
 - a write-only output tape: output bits are written from left to right,
 - work tapes.
- We charge for
 - space used by the work tapes: #cells that the tape head has visited
 - space to store the index of input and output tape heads
- A function is *log-space computable* if some (deterministic) TM that computes the function uses log *n* space on inputs of length *n*.

- Instance: Binary numbers x, y and z
- **Problem**: Is x + y = z?
- Given x and y, can we compute the sum x+y in log space?

- Instance: Binary numbers x, y and z
- **Problem**: Is x + y = z?
- Given x and y, can we compute the sum x+y in log space?
 - A log-space TM, M, can compute reverse(x+y), i.e., the bits of the sum in reverse order
 - A log-space TM, M', can output the reverse of its input
 - We can compose M and M' to compute x + y

How to compose log-space TMs?

- On input w, we want to run TM M and then run TM M´ on the output produced by M
- We can't store the output produced by M

How to compose log-space TMs?

- On input w, we want to run TM M and then run TM M´ on the output produced by M
- We can't store the output produced by M
- Instead, whenever M' needs to read the *i*th output bit of M, it re-runs M up until it produces the needed bit, discarding output bits produced prior to bit *i*

- Instance: A directed graph G = (V,E) and two nodes s and f
- **Problem**: Is there a path in G from s to f?

- Instance: A directed graph G = (V,E) and two nodes s and f
- **Problem**: Is there a path in G from s to f?
- PATH is in P use breadth-first or depth-first search – but these algorithms use polynomial space too.
- Is there a more space-efficient solution? What if you can use nondeterminism?

- Instance: A directed graph G = (V,E) and two nodes s and f
- **Problem**: Is there a path in G from s to f?
- PATH is in NL (nondeterministic log space): simply guess a path from s to f and verify that each edge of the guessed path is in the graph
- To save space, store only the current node along the path

Space Bounded Complexity Classes

- $L = SPACE(\log n)$
- NL = NSPACE(log n)
- Explain why the class L is in the class P

Space Bounded Complexity Classes

- $L = SPACE(\log n)$
- NL = NSPACE(log n)
- Explain why the class L is in the class P
- What about NL?

Path is NL-Complete

- We need a new notion of reduction $L \leq_{\log} L'$
- Function f: $L \rightarrow L'$ is a *log-space, many-one reduction* if
 - f is log-space computable and

-x is in L iff f(x) is in L'

- We already saw that Path is in NL
- We'll show a log-space reduction from any language in NL to Path

- Let *L* be in NL, accepted by NTM M that has a unique accepting configuration acc(*w*) on input *w*
- We need a log-space reduction from *L* to Path

Path is NL-Complete

- Let *L* be in NL, accepted by NTM M that has a unique accepting configuration acc(*w*) on input *w*
- We need a log-space reduction from *L* to Path
- The reduction simply computes the configuration graph G of M on w and outputs (G, init(w), acc(w))

Complexity Classes



P vs NL: Insight on Parallel Algorithms

- Problems in NL have "fast" parallel algorithms: bounded fan-in circuits with
 - depth (parallel time) polylog(n)
 - size (# parallel processors) poly(n)

A Fast Parallel Algorithm for Path

```
Reach(x,y,i) // does G have a path of length \leq 2^i from x to y?
If i = 0 then
    If (x = y) or ((x,y) is an edge of G) Return True
    Flse Return False
Else
    For each node z of G
       If (Reach (x, z, i-1) and Reach(z, y, i-1) )
           Return True
    Return False
```

- Parallelize the Reach algorithm
 - Do the tests for all nodes z in parallel
 - Do the two Reach computations in parallel

A Fast Parallel Algorithm for Path



A Fast Parallel Algorithm for Path

- Circuit depth at each recursion level: O(log *n*)
- Circuit depth for base case: O(log n)
- O(log n) levels of recursion
- Total circuit depth for DGR: O(log² n)
- Total number of gates (processors): poly(*n*)

P vs NL: Insight on Parallel Algorithms

- Problems in NL have "fast" parallel algorithms: bounded fan-in circuits with – depth (parallel time) polylog(n)
 - size (# parallel processors) poly(n)
- Not all problems in P have fast parallel algorithms
- Problems that are ≤_{log}-complete for P are the "hardest" problems, with respect to their space and parallel time requirements

- NC(d(n),p(n)) is the class of languages that have bounded fan-in circuits of depth O(d(n)) and with O(p(n)) gates.
- NC = $U_{c,d}$ NC(log^c n, n^d)
- Path is in NC(log² n, poly(n))
- (See Arora-Barak Chapter 6.5)





- Log space bounded complexity classes
- A new complexity class of problems with "fast" parallel algorithms: NC
- Log-space reductions (≤_{log}) help identify which problems in P have fast parallel algorithms and which don't

- The Immerman-Szelepcsényi theorem: NL = co-NL
- Reading: Arora-Barak 4.3, 4.4