Stat 535 C - Statistical Computing & Monte Carlo Methods

Lecture 23 - 4th April 2006

Arnaud Doucet

Email: arnaud@cs.ubc.ca

- Review of Sequential Monte Carlo.
- Sequential Monte Carlo for Static Problems.
- Limitations.

• The SMC approach can be used to sample from any sequence of target distributions

$$\pi_n\left(x_{1:n}\right) = \frac{\gamma_n\left(x_{1:n}\right)}{Z_n}.$$

• Standard application:

$$\pi_n(x_{1:n}) \propto \mu(x_1) \prod_{k=2}^n f(x_k | x_{k-1}) \prod_{k=1}^n g(y_k | x_k).$$

• Rao-blackwellisation examples:

$$\pi_n(x_{1:n}) \propto \mu(x_1) \prod_{k=2}^n f(x_k | x_{k-1}) \prod_{k=1}^n p(y_k | y_{1:k-1}, x_k).$$

• We use the IS identities

$$\pi_n(x_{1:n}) = \frac{w_n(x_{1:n}) q_n(x_{1:n})}{Z_n},$$

$$Z_{n} = \int w_{n} (x_{1:n}) q_{n} (x_{1:n}) dx_{1:n}$$

where

$$w_n(x_{1:n}) = \frac{\gamma_n(x_{1:n})}{q_n(x_{1:n})} \propto \frac{\pi_n(x_{1:n})}{q_n(x_{1:n})}$$

• If $X_{1:n}^{(i)} \sim q_n(x_{1:n})$ then

$$q_n^N(x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_{1:n}^{(i)}}(x_{1:n})$$

- Review of Sequential Monte Carlo

• By plugging $q_n^N(x_{1:n})$ in place of $q_n(x_{1:n})$

$$\pi_n^N(x_{1:n}) = \sum_{i=1}^N W_n^{(i)} \delta_{X_{1:n}^{(i)}}(x_{1:n})$$

where

$$W_n^{(i)} \propto w_n \left(X_{1:n}^{(i)} \right), \quad \sum_{i=1}^N W_n^{(i)} = 1$$

and

$$Z_n^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_n \left(X_{1:n}^{(i)} \right).$$

• We use

$$q_n(x_{1:n}) = q_1(x_1) \prod_{k=2}^n q_k(x_k | x_{1:k-1}),$$

$$\gamma_n(x_{1:n})$$

$$w_n(x_{1:n}) = w_n(x_{1:n-1}) \frac{\gamma_n(x_{1:n})}{\gamma_{n-1}(x_{1:n-1}) q_n(x_n | x_{1:n-1})}.$$

• The variance of $\left\{ w_n \left(X_{1:n}^{(i)} \right) \right\}$ tends to increase so when the ESS is too low we resample the particle approximation $\left\{ W_n^{(i)}, X_{1:n}^{(i)} \right\}$ to obtain $\left\{ 1/N, X_{1:n}^{(i)} \right\}$.

At time
$$n - 1$$
, $\left\{ W_{n-1}^{(i)}, X_{1:n-1}^{(i)} \right\}$

• <u>Sampling Step.</u> For i = 1, ..., N, sample $X_n^{(i)} \sim q_n\left(\cdot | X_{1:n-1}^{(i)}\right)$

$$W_n^{(i)} \propto W_{n-1}^{(i)} \frac{\gamma_n \left(X_{1:n}^{(i)} \right)}{\gamma_{n-1} \left(X_{1:n-1}^{(i)} \right) q_n \left(x_n | X_{1:n-1}^{(i)} \right)}$$

• <u>Resampling Step.</u> If variance of weights $\{W_n^{(i)}\}$ high, resample $\{W_n^{(i)}, X_{1:n}^{(i)}\}$ to obtain $\{N^{-1}, X_{1:n}^{(i)}\}$.

• An approximation of π_n is given by

$$\pi_n^N(x_{1:n}) = \sum_{i=1}^N W_n^{(i)} \delta_{X_{1:n}^{(i)}}(x_{1:n})$$

• An approximation of

$$\frac{Z_n}{Z_{n-1}} = \frac{\int \gamma_n (x_{1:n}) \, dx_{1:n}}{\int \gamma_{n-1} (x_{1:n-1}) \, dx_{1:n-1}}$$

is given by

$$\widehat{\frac{Z_n}{Z_{n-1}}} = \sum_{i=1}^N W_{n-1}^{(i)} \frac{\gamma_n \left(X_{1:n}^{(i)}\right)}{\gamma_{n-1} \left(X_{1:n-1}^{(i)}\right) q_n \left(x_n \mid X_{1:n-1}^{(i)}\right)}$$

• One cannot hope to estimate with a fixed precision a target distribution of increasing dimension.

• Aat best, we can expect results of the following form

$$E\left[\left(\int \varphi\left(x_{n-L+1:n}\right)\left(\pi_{n}^{N}\left(dx_{n-L+1:n}\right)-\pi_{n}\left(dx_{n-L+1:n}\right)\right)\right)^{2}\right] \leq \frac{C_{L} \left\|\varphi\right\|}{N}$$

IF the model has nice forgetting/mixing properties, i.e.

$$\|\pi_n (x_n | x_1) - \pi_n (x_n | x_1')\| \le D\lambda^{n-1}$$

with $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

• Under such assumptions, there is no accumulation of errors over time.

• SMC are very useful for dynamic models and more generally to estimate any sequence of distributions whose dimension increases over time.

• Unfortunately, many important problems do NOT fit into this framework. How do you estimate a fixed target distribution $\pi(x)$ using SMC??

• We need to develop more elaborate methods for such problems.

• Let $\{\pi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of probability distributions defined on E such that $\pi_n(dx) = \pi_n(x) dx$ and each $\pi_n(x)$ is known up to a normalizing constant, i.e.

$$\pi_n(x) = \underbrace{Z_n^{-1}}_{\text{unknown}} \cdot \underbrace{\gamma_n(x)}_{\text{known}}.$$

• Estimate expectations $\int \varphi(x) \pi_n(dx)$ and/or normalizing constants Z_n sequentially; i.e. first π_1 then π_2 and so on.

• *Objectives*: Obtain SMC (sampling/resampling population-based) algorithms to solve this problem.

• Standard SMC methods do not apply.

- Sequential Bayesian Inference: $\pi_n(x) = p(x|y_{1:n})$.
- Global optimization: $\pi_n(x) \propto [\pi(x)]^{\eta_n}$ with $\{\eta_n\}$ increasing sequence such that $\eta_n \to \infty$.
- Sampling from a fixed target $\pi : \pi_n(x) \propto [\mu_1(x)]^{\eta_n} [\pi(x)]^{1-\eta_n}$ where μ_1 easy to sample and $\eta_1 = 1$, $\eta_n < \eta_{n-1}$ and $\eta_P = 0$.
- Rare event simulation $\pi(A) \ll 1$: $\pi_n(x) \propto \pi(x) \mathbf{1}_{E_n}(x)$ with Z_1 known,

 $E_1 = E, E_n \subset E_{n-1}$ and $E_P = A$ then $Z_P = \pi(A)$.

• Let the target distribution be $\pi_k(x) = Z_k^{-1} \gamma_k(x)$ and μ_k be a so-called importance distribution then

$$\pi_k(x) = \frac{w_k(x) \mu_k(x)}{\int w_k(x) \mu_k(x) dx} \text{ where } w_k(x) = \frac{\gamma_k(x)}{\mu_k(x)},$$

$$Z_{k} = \int w_{k}(x) \,\mu_{k}(x) \,dx$$

• By sampling N i.i.d. particles $X_k^{(i)} \sim \mu_k$ then $\hat{\mu}_k(dx) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_k^{(i)}}(dx)$ and

$$\widehat{\pi}_k(dx) = \sum_{i=1}^N W_k^{(i)} \delta_{X_k^{(i)}}(dx) \text{ where } W_k^{(i)} \propto w_k\left(X_k^{(i)}\right), \ \sum_{i=1}^N W_k^{(i)} = 1,$$

$$\widehat{Z}_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_k \left(X_k^{(i)} \right).$$

• Importance Sampling (IS) is a straightforward method to use if μ_k is easy to sample.

• Under weak assumptions, we can obtain asymptotically consistent estimates of $\int \varphi(x) \hat{\pi}_k(dx)$ and \hat{Z}_k .

.... so why do people use MCMC in 99.99% of cases???

• For the estimates to have reasonable variances (if they exist!), one needs to select very carefully the importance distribution.

• To compute $\int \varphi(x) \pi_k(dx)$ by IS, the optimal distribution depends on φ but in statistics we often simply want μ_k as "close" to π_k as possible.

• For problems routinely addressed in statistics, this is very difficult.

• "Philosophy": Start by doing simple things before trying to do complex things; same idea used in simulated annealing, simulated tempering etc.

• Develop a sequential/iterative IS strategy where we start by approximating a simple target distribution π_1 . Then targets evolve over time and we build the importance distribution sequentially.

- At time n, we use μ_{n-1} to build μ_n .
- This approach makes sense if the sequence $\{\pi_n\}$ is not arbitrary; i.e. π_{n-1} somewhat close to π_n .

• At time 1, sample $N \ (N \gg 1)$ particles $X_1^{(i)} \sim \mu_1$ to obtain the following IS estimates

$$\widehat{\pi}_{1}(dx) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{1}^{(i)} \delta_{X_{1}^{(i)}}(dx) \text{ where } W_{1}^{(i)} \propto w_{1}\left(X_{1}^{(i)}\right), \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{1}^{(i)} = 1,$$

$$\widehat{Z}_{1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{1}\left(X_{1}^{(i)}\right)$$

• *Remark*: Estimates have reasonable variance only if discrepancy between π_1 and μ_1 small; hence the need to start with easy to sample or approximate π_1 .

• At time n-1, one has N particles $\left\{X_{n-1}^{(i)}, W_{n-1}^{(i)}\right\}$

$$X_{n-1}^{(i)} \sim \mu_{n-1} \text{ and } W_{n-1}^{(i)} \propto \frac{\pi_{n-1}\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)}{\mu_{n-1}\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)}.$$

• Move the particles according to transition kernel

$$X_n^{(i)} \sim K_n\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}, \cdot\right) \Rightarrow \mu_n\left(x'\right) = \int \mu_{n-1}\left(x\right) K_n\left(x, x'\right) dx$$

• Optimal transition kernel $K_n(x, x') = \pi_n(x')$ cannot be used so we need alternatives.

- $K_n(x, x') = K_n(x')$ with
 - simple parametric form (e.g. Gaussian, multinomial etc.);
 - semi-parametric based on $\hat{\mu}_{n-1}(dx)$ (e.g. West, 1993; Titterington, 2001) complexity $O(N^2)$.
- $K_n(x, x')$ MCMC kernel of invariant distribution π_n .
 - burn-in correction by importance sampling.
 - scaling of proposal can depend on $\left\{X_{n-1}^{(i)}\right\}$ (Crisan & D., 2000 Chopin, 2002)
- $K_n(x, x')$ approximation of a Gibbs sampler of invariant distribution π_n .

nitialization; n = 1. For i = 1, ..., N, sample $X_1^{(i)} \sim \mu_1(\cdot)$ and set $w_1\left(X_1^{(i)}\right) = \frac{\gamma_1\left(X_1^{(i)}\right)}{\mu_n\left(X_1^{(i)}\right)}, \ W_1^{(i)} \propto w_1\left(X_1^{(i)}\right).$ $\mathbf{t time } n; n \ge 1.$ For i = 1, ..., N, sample $X_n^{(i)} \sim K_n\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}, \cdot\right)$ and set $w_n\left(X_n^{(i)}\right) = \frac{\gamma_n\left(X_n^{(i)}\right)}{\mu_n\left(X_n^{(i)}\right)}, W_n^{(i)} \propto w_n\left(X_n^{(i)}\right)$ r

where
$$\mu_n(x_n) = \int \mu_{n-1} (dx_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

• In most cases, we *cannot* compute the marginal importance distribution

$$\mu_n(x_n) = \int \mu_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n) dx_{n-1}$$

$$= \int \mu_1(x_1) \prod_{k=2}^n K_k(x_{k-1}, x_k) \, dx_{1:n-1}.$$

 \Rightarrow Hence we cannot use Importance Sampling.

• Monte Carlo approximation

$$\widehat{\mu}_n(x_n) = \int \widehat{\mu}_{n-1}(dx_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N K_n\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}, x_n\right).$$

- \rightsquigarrow Computationally intensive $O(N^2)$.
- \rightsquigarrow Impossible if $K_n(x, x')$ cannot be evaluated pointwise;
- e.g. Metropolis-Hastings kernel where

$$K_n(x, dx') = \alpha(x, x') q(x, dx') + \underbrace{\left(1 - \int \alpha(x, u) q(x, du)\right)}_{\text{unknown}} \delta_x(dx')$$

- Problem summary: It is impossible to compute pointwise $\mu_n(x_n)$ hence $\gamma_n(x_n)/\mu_n(x_n)$ except when n = 1.
- Solution: Perform importance sampling on extended space.

• At time 2,

$$\frac{\pi_2(x_2)}{\mu_2(x_2)} = \frac{\pi_2(x_2)}{\int \mu_1(dx_1) K_2(x_1, x_2)} \text{ cannot be evaluated}$$
Iternative weights can be defined

but alternative weights can be defined

 $\frac{\text{new joint target distribution}}{\text{joint importance distribution}} = \frac{\pi_2(x_2) L_1(x_2, x_1)}{\mu_1(x_1) K_2(x_1, x_2)}$ where $L_1(x_2, x_1)$ is an *arbitrary* (backward) Markov kernel.

• "Proof" of validity:

$$\int \pi_2(x_2) L_1(x_2, x_1) dx_1 = \pi_2(x_2) \underbrace{\int L_1(x_2, x_1) dx_1}_{=1! \text{ whatever being } L_1} L_1(x_2, x_1) dx_1 = \pi_2(x_2)$$

• Similarly at time n, $Z_n^{-1}w_n(x_n) = \frac{\pi_n(x_n)}{\mu_n(x_n)}$ IMPOSSIBLE so USE $Z_n^{-1}w_n(x_{1:n}) = \frac{\widetilde{\pi}_n(x_{1:n})}{\mu_n(x_{1:n})}$ where $\{\widetilde{\pi}_n\}$ is defined using an sequence of arbitrary backwards Markov kernels $\{L_n\}$

Artificial joint target :
$$\widetilde{\pi}_n(x_{1:n}) = \pi_n(x_n) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} L_k(x_{k+1}, x_k),$$

Joint importance distribution

$$\mu_n(x_{1:n}) = \mu_1(x_1) \prod_{k=2}^n K_k(x_{k-1}, x_k).$$

• "Proof" of validity $\int \widetilde{\pi}_n (x_{1:n}) dx_{1:n-1} = \pi_n (x_n) \underbrace{\int \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} L_k (x_{k+1}, x_k) dx_{1:n-1}}_{=1! \text{ whatever being } \{L_k\}} = \pi_n (x_n).$ • No free lunch: By extending the integration space, the variance of the importance weights can only increase.

• The optimal kernel $\{L_{n-1}\}$ is the one bringing us back to the case where there is no space extension; i.e.

$$L_{n-1}^{\text{opt}}(x_n, x_{n-1}) = \frac{\mu_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{\mu_n(x_n)}$$

 \bullet The result follows straightforwardly from the forward-backward formula for Markov processes

$$\mu_n(x_{1:n}) = \mu_1(x_1) \prod_{k=2}^n K_k(x_{k-1}, x_k) = \mu_n(x_n) \prod_{k=2}^n L_{k-1}^{\text{opt}}(x_k, x_{k-1})$$

• L_{n-1}^{opt} cannot typically be computed (though there are important exceptions) but can be properly approximated in numerous cases (see later). Even if an approximation is used, the estimates are still asymptotically consistent.

– SMC Samplers

• We are back to "standard" SMC methods where one is interested in sampling from a sequence of (artificial) distributions $\{\tilde{\pi}_n\}$ whose dimension is increasing over time.

• Key difference: Given $\{K_n\}, \{\tilde{\pi}_n\}$ has been constructed in a "clever" way such that

$$\int \widetilde{\pi}_n\left(x_{1:n}\right) dx_{1:n-1} = \pi_n\left(x_n\right)$$

whereas usually the sequence of targets $\{\tilde{\pi}_n\}$ is fixed and $\{K_n\}$ is designed accordingly.

• Because we cannot use $\{L_n^{\text{opt}}\}$ at each time step, the variance of the weights typically increases over time and it is necessary to resample.

Initialization; n = 1. For i = 1, ..., N, sample $X_1^{(i)} \sim \mu_1(\cdot)$ and set $W_1^{(i)} \propto \frac{\pi_1 \left(X_1^{(i)} \right)}{\mu_1 \left(X_1^{(i)} \right)}.$ Resample $\left\{ W_1^{(i)}, X_1^{(i)} \right\}$ to obtain N new particles $\left\{ N^{-1}, X_1^{(i)} \right\}$. At time n; n > 1. For i = 1, ..., N, sample $X_n^{(i)} \sim K_n\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}, \cdot\right)$ and set $W_n^{(i)} \propto \frac{\widetilde{\pi}_n\left(X_{1:n}^{(i)}\right)}{\mu_n\left(X_{1:n}^{(i)}\right)} \propto W_{n-1}^{(i)} \frac{\pi_n\left(X_n^{(i)}\right) L_{n-1}\left(X_n^{(i)}, X_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)}{\pi_{n-1}\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}\right) K_n\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}, X_n^{(i)}\right)}.$ Resample $\left\{ W_n^{(i)}, X_n^{(i)} \right\}$ to obtain N new particles $\left\{ N^{-1}, X_n^{(i)} \right\}$.

• Monte Carlo approximation

$$\widehat{\pi}_{n}(dx) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{n}^{(i)} \delta_{X_{n}^{(i)}}(dx) \,.$$

• Ratio of normalizing constants

$$\frac{Z_n}{Z_{n-1}} = \frac{\int \gamma_n(x_n) dx_n}{\int \gamma_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) dx_{n-1}} \\
= \int \frac{\gamma_n(x_n) L_{n-1}(x_n, x_{n-1})}{\gamma_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \pi_{n-1}(dx_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, dx_n) \\
\Rightarrow \widehat{\frac{Z_n}{Z_{n-1}}} = \sum_{i=1}^N W_{n-1}^{(i)} \frac{\gamma_n\left(X_n^{(i)}\right) L_{n-1}\left(X_n^{(i)}, X_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}\right) K_n\left(X_{n-1}^{(i)}, X_n^{(i)}\right)}.$$

• Like in MCMC, in practice one typically wants to use a mixture of moves

$$K_{n}(x, x') = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{n,m}(x) K_{n,m}(x, x')$$

where $\alpha_{n,m}(x) > 0$, $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{n,m}(x) = 1$ and $\{K_{n,m}\}$ is a collection of transition kernels.

- Importance weight can be computed using standard formula but can be too computationally intensive if M is large.
- L_{n-1}^{opt} can be difficult to approximate if M is large.

• Alternative importance sampling on joint space (e.g. Auxiliary Particle Filters by Pitt & Shephard) by introducing explicitly a discrete latent variable M_n

$$\Pr\left(M_n = m\right) = \alpha_{n,m}\left(x\right)$$

and performing importance sampling on the extended space.

• The resulting incremental importance weight becomes

$$\frac{\pi_n(x')\,\beta_{n-1,m}(x')\,L_{n-1,m}(x',x)}{\pi_{n-1}(x)\,\alpha_{n,m}(x)\,K_{n,m}(x,x')} \text{ instead of } \frac{\pi_n(x')\,L_{n-1}(x',x)}{\pi_{n-1}(x)\,K_n(x,x')}$$

where $L_{n-1}(x', x)$ is the artificial backward Markov kernel

$$L_{n-1}(x',x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \beta_{n-1,m}(x') L_{n-1,m}(x',x)$$

• Optimal choice for $\{\beta_{n-1,m}, L_{n-1,m}\}$ follows straightforwardly.

• Convergence results follow from general results on Feynman-Kac formula (see Del Moral, 2004).

• When no resampling is performed, one has

$$\sqrt{N}\left(E_{\widehat{\pi}_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]-E_{\pi_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\int\frac{\widetilde{\pi}_{n}^{2}(x_{1:n})}{\mu_{n}(x_{1:n})}\left(\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)-E_{\pi_{n}}\left(\varphi\right)\right)^{2}dx_{1:n}\right)$$

When multinomial resampling is used at each iteration, one has

$$\sqrt{N}\left(E_{\widehat{\pi}_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]-E_{\pi_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma_{SMC,n}^{2}\left(\varphi\right)\right),$$

$$\sigma_{SMC,n}^{2}\left(\varphi\right) = \int \frac{\widetilde{\pi}_{n}^{2}(x_{1})}{\mu_{1}(x_{1})} \left(\int \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\widetilde{\pi}_{n}\left(x_{n} | x_{1}\right) dx_{n} - E_{\pi_{n}}\left(\varphi\right)\right)^{2} dx_{1}$$

$$+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \int \frac{\left(\tilde{\pi}_{n}(x_{k})L_{k-1}(x_{k},x_{k-1})\right)^{2}}{\pi_{k-1}(x_{k-1})K_{k}(x_{k-1},x_{k})} \left(\int \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\tilde{\pi}_{n}\left(x_{n} | x_{k}\right) dx_{n} - E_{\pi_{n}}\left(\varphi\right)\right)^{2} dx_{k-1:k}$$

$$+\int \frac{(\pi_n(x_n)L_{n-1}(x_n,x_{n-1}))^2}{\pi_{n-1}(x_{n-1})K_n(x_{n-1},x_n)} \left(\varphi\left(x_n\right) - E_{\pi_n}\left(\varphi\right)\right)^2 dx_{n-1:n}.$$

• Under mixing assumptions, $\sigma_{SMC,n}(\varphi)$ upper bounded over time.

• When no resampling is performed, one has

$$N\left(E_{\widehat{\pi}_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]-E_{\pi_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]\right)\to -\int\frac{\pi_{n}^{2}(x_{1:n})}{\mu_{n}(x_{1:n})}\left(\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)-E_{\pi_{n}}\left(\varphi\right)\right)dx_{1:n}$$

•When multinomial resampling is used at each iteration (Del Moral, D. & Peters, 2004), one has

$$N\left(E_{\widehat{\pi}_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]-E_{\pi_{n}}\left[\varphi\right]\right)\rightarrow b_{SMC,n}\left(\varphi\right),$$

where with multinomial resampling

$$b_{SMC,n}(\varphi) = -\int \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{n}^{2}(x_{1})}{\mu_{1}(x_{1})} \left(\int \varphi(x_{n}) \tilde{\pi}_{n}(x_{n} | x_{1}) dx_{n} - E_{\pi_{n}}(\varphi) \right) dx_{1}$$

$$-\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \int \frac{(\tilde{\pi}_{n}(x_{k})L_{k-1}(x_{k}, x_{k-1}))^{2}}{\pi_{k-1}(x_{k-1})K_{k}(x_{k-1}, x_{k})} \left(\int \varphi(x_{n}) \tilde{\pi}_{n}(x_{n} | x_{k}) dx_{n} - E_{\pi_{n}}(\varphi) \right) dx_{k-1:k}$$

$$-\int \frac{(\pi_{n}(x_{n})L_{n-1}(x_{n}, x_{n-1}))^{2}}{\pi_{n-1}(x_{n-1})K_{n}(x_{n-1}, x_{n})} \left(\varphi(x_{n}) - E_{\pi_{n}}(\varphi) \right) dx_{n-1:n}.$$

• Under mixing assumptions, $|b_{SMC,n}(\varphi)|$ upper bounded over time.

1.1– How to design an SMC method for sampling from fixed target

- First step: Build a sequence of distributions $\{\pi_n\}$ going from π_1 easy to sample/approximate to $\pi_P = \pi$; e.g. $\pi(x) \propto [\mu_1(x)]^{\eta_n} [\pi(x)]^{1-\eta_n}$ where μ_1 easy to sample and $\eta_1 = 1$, $\eta_n < \eta_{n-1}$ with $\eta_P = 0$.
- Second step: Introduce a sequence of transition kernels $\{K_n\}$; e.g. K_n MCMC sampler of invariant distribution π_n .
- Third step: Introduce a sequence of backward kernels $\{L_n\}$ equal/approximating L_n^{opt} ; e.g.

$$L_{n-1}(x_n, x_{n-1}) = \frac{\pi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{\int \pi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n) dx_{n-1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_n(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \frac{\pi_n(x_n)}{\int \pi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n) dx_{n-1}}$$

$$L_{n-1}(x_n, x_{n-1}) = \frac{\pi_n(x_{n-1}) K_n(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{\pi_n(x_n)} \Rightarrow \alpha_n(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \frac{\pi_n(x_{n-1})}{\pi_{n-1}(x_{n-1})}$$

• Model

$$Y_i | X_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{X_i}, \sigma_{X_i}^2\right),$$

$$\Pr(X_i = k) = \pi_k$$
 where $k = 1, ..., M$

- Standard conjugate priors on $\theta = (\pi_k, \mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$, no identifiability constraint, posterior is a mixture of M! components.
- Simulations with M = 4, components "far" from each other.
- Gibbs sampler to sample from $p(\theta, x_{1:T} | y_{1:T})$ get stuck in one mode.

• To sample $p(\theta, x_{1:T} | y_{1:T})$, set $\pi_n(\theta, x_{1:T}) \propto p(\theta, x_{1:T}) p(y_{1:T} | \theta, x_{1:T})^{\eta_n}$ where $n \in \{1, \dots, P\}$, N = 1000.

• For moderate P, SMC discovers the 4! modes and provide good estimates of $\mathbb{E} [\mu_i | Y_{1:T}].$

• Generally, resampling helps when number of intermediate distributions P is low, otherwise no significant difference.

• Use SMC as exploratory techniques?

• At time t, time occurrences assumed to follow an inhomogeneous Poisson process of intensity $\lambda : R^+ \to R^+$

$$p_t\left(y_{1:l_t} | \{\lambda(u)\}_{u \le t}\right) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \lambda(u) \, du\right) \prod_{l=1}^{l_t} \lambda(y_l) \, .$$

• We want to estimate unknown intensity $\lambda(t)$ sequentially in time.

• Simple piecewise constant model for $\lambda(t)$

$$\lambda(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \lambda_m \mathbb{1}_{[\tau_{m-1},\tau_m)}(t)$$

• The number of steps k, their amplitudes $\lambda_{1:k+1}$ and the knot points $\tau_{1:k}$ are assumed unknown \Rightarrow Set following time-dependent prior distribution

$$p_t(k, \lambda_{1:k+1}, \tau_{1:k}) = p_t(k) p(\lambda_{1:k+1} | k) p_t(\tau_{1:k} | k)$$

where $p_t(k)$ Poisson $\lambda_q t$, $p_t(\tau_{1:k}|k)$ uniform order statistics on [0, t] and $\lambda_1 \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\lambda_l | \lambda_{l-1} \sim \mathcal{G}(\lambda_{l-1}^2/\chi; \lambda_{l-1}/\chi)$.

⁻ Sequential Bayesian Inference

• Sequential estimation of posterior distributions over times $n\Delta T$

$$\pi_n (k, \lambda_{1:k+1}, \tau_{1:k}) = p_{n\Delta T} (k, \lambda_{1:k+1}, \tau_{1:k} | y_{1:l_{n\Delta T}})$$

where ΔT is a time interval defined by the user.

- These distributions are defined on $E = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{k\} \times \vartheta_k$ where $\vartheta_k = \left\{ \tau_{1:k} \in (R^+)^k ; 0 < \tau_1 < \ldots < \tau_k \right\} \times (R^+)^{k+1}$, the support of π_n being reduced to $\left\{ \tau_{1:k} \in (R^+)^k ; 0 < \tau_1 < \ldots < \tau_k < n\Delta T \right\} \times (R^+)^{k+1}$.
- Combinations of birth/death and extend steps.

