# Using Uncertain Knowledge

- Agents don't have complete knowledge about the world.
- Agents need to make decisions based on their uncertainty.
- It isn't enough to assume what the world is like.
   Example: wearing a seat belt.
- An agent needs to reason about its uncertainty.
- When an agent makes an action under uncertainty, it is gambling probability.

# **Probability**

- Probability is an agent's measure of belief in some proposition — subjective probability.
- Example: Your probability of a bird flying is your measure of belief in the flying ability of an individual based only on the knowledge that the individual is a bird.
  - Other agents may have different probabilities, as they may have had different experiences with birds or different knowledge about this particular bird.
  - An agent's belief in a bird's flying ability is affected by what the agent knows about that bird.

#### Numerical Measures of Belief

- Belief in proposition, f, can be measured in terms of a number between 0 and 1 — this is the probability of f.
  - ► The probability *f* is 0 means that *f* is believed to be definitely false.
  - ► The probability *f* is 1 means that *f* is believed to be definitely true.
- Using 0 and 1 is purely a convention.
- f has a probability between 0 and 1, doesn't mean f is true to some degree, but means you are ignorant of its truth value. Probability is a measure of your ignorance.

#### Random Variables

- A random variable is a term in a language that can take one of a number of different values.
- The domain of a variable X, written dom(X), is the set of values X can take.
- A tuple of random variables  $\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$  is a complex random variable with domain  $dom(X_1) \times \cdots \times dom(X_n)$ . Often the tuple is written as  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- Assignment X = x means variable X has value x.
- A proposition is a Boolean formula made from assignments of values to variables.



#### Possible World Semantics

- A possible world specifies an assignment of one value to each random variable.
- $w \models X = x$ means variable X is assigned value x in world w.
- Logical connectives have their standard meaning:

$$w \models \alpha \land \beta \text{ if } w \models \alpha \text{ and } w \models \beta$$
  
 $w \models \alpha \lor \beta \text{ if } w \models \alpha \text{ or } w \models \beta$   
 $w \models \neg \alpha \text{ if } w \not\models \alpha$ 

• Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all possible worlds.



#### Semantics of Probability: finite case

#### For a finite number of possible worlds:

- Define a nonnegative measure  $\mu(w)$  to each world w so that the measures of the possible worlds sum to 1. The measure specifies how much you think the world w is like the real world.
- The probability of proposition *f* is defined by:

$$P(f) = \sum_{w \models f} \mu(w).$$

#### Axioms of Probability: finite case

Four axioms define what follows from a set of probabilities:

Axiom 1  $0 \le P(f)$  for any formula f.

Axiom 2  $P(\tau) = 1$  if  $\tau$  is a tautology.

Axiom 3  $P(f \lor g) = P(f) + P(g)$  if  $\neg (f \land g)$  is a tautology.

 These axioms are sound and complete with respect to the semantics.

#### Semantics of Probability: general case

In the general case we have a measure on sets of possible worlds, satisfying:

- $\mu(S) \geq 0$  for  $S \subseteq \Omega$
- $\mu(\Omega) = 1$
- $\mu(S_1 \cup S_2) = \mu(S_1) + \mu(S_2)$  if  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{\}$ . Or sometimes  $\sigma$ -additivity:

$$\mu(\bigcup_i S_i) = \sum_i \mu(S_i) \text{ if } S_i \cap S_j = \{\}$$

Then  $P(\alpha) = \mu(\{w | w \models \alpha\}).$ 



### Probability Distributions

• A probability distribution on a random variable X is a function  $dom(X) \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that

$$x \mapsto P(X = x)$$
.

This is written as P(X).

- This also includes the case where we have tuples of variables. E.g., P(X, Y, Z) means  $P(\langle X, Y, Z \rangle)$ .
- When dom(X) is infinite sometimes we need a probability density function...



# Conditioning

- Probabilistic conditioning specifies how to revise beliefs based on new information.
- You build a probabilistic model taking all background information into account. This gives the prior probability.
- All other information must be conditioned on.
- If evidence e is the all of the information obtained subsequently, the conditional probability P(h|e) of h given e is the posterior probability of h.

# Semantics of Conditional Probability

Evidence e rules out possible worlds incompatible with e. Evidence e induces a new measure,  $\mu_e$ , over possible worlds

$$\mu_{e}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \models e \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \not\models e \end{cases}$$

The conditional probability of formula h given evidence e is

$$P(h|e) = \sum_{\omega \models h} \mu_e(w)$$
  
=  $\frac{P(h \land e)}{P(e)}$ 

#### Chain Rule

$$P(f_{1} \wedge f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge f_{n})$$

$$= P(f_{n}|f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}) \times P(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}) \times P(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}) \times P(f_{n}|f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}) \times P(f_{n-1}|f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-2}) \times P(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-2}) \times P(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-2}) \times P(f_{n-1}|f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}) \times P(f_{n-1}|f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-2}) \times \cdots \times P(f_{3}|f_{1} \wedge f_{2}) \times P(f_{2}|f_{1}) \times P(f_{1})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(f_{i}|f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i-1})$$

### Bayes' theorem

The chain rule and commutativity of conjunction  $(h \land e)$  is equivalent to  $e \land h$  gives us:

$$P(h \wedge e) = P(h|e) \times P(e)$$
  
=  $P(e|h) \times P(h)$ .

If  $P(e) \neq 0$ , you can divide the right hand sides by P(e):

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(e|h) \times P(h)}{P(e)}.$$

This is Bayes' theorem.



### Why is Bayes' theorem interesting?

 Often you have causal knowledge: *P*(*symptom* | *disease*) P(light is off | status of switches and switch positions) P(alarm | fire)  $P(image\ looks\ like\ \blacksquare\ |\ a\ tree\ is\ in\ front\ of\ a\ car)$ 

and want to do evidential reasoning: P(disease | symptom) P(status of switches | light is off and switch positions)  $P(fire \mid alarm).$ 

 $P(a \text{ tree is in front of a car} \mid \text{image looks like } \overrightarrow{A})$ 

