Box Cutter: Atlas Refinement for Efficient Packing via Void Elimination (SIGGRAPH 2018) M. Limper, N. Vining, A. Sheffer Supplemental Tables

1 Additional Experimental Results

This document contains a larger set of experimental results for our *Box Cutter* method. In addition to the parameterization / segmentation methods shown in the paper, we also show data sets for the *MIQ* method of Bommes et al., as well as for the *Isocharts* algorithm by Zhou et al. [BZK09, ZSGS04].

Table 1 shows the improvement in packing efficiency which could be achieved by our method, for different boundary length constraints. For all data sets that contained overlaps, Table 2 compares our overlap removal strategy to the standard method of Lévy et al. [LPRM02]. Finally, Table 3 shows runtime performance for optimization to different boundary length constraints.

	PE / BL	PE Improvement (PE / BL)					
Model [Method]	Bijective	BLen < 130%	BLen < 150%	BLen $< 200\%$			
armadillo [PTH ⁺ 17]	55% / 11.3	+33% (73% / 14.4)	+45% (80% /15.9)	+46% (81% /19.3)			
elk [PTH ⁺ 17]	51% / 13.4	+42% (72% / 16.4)	+58% (80% /20.0)	+58% (80% /20.0)			
girl [PTH ⁺ 17]	44% / 14.8	+ 75% (77% / 18.7)	+81% (80% /21.5)	+85% (82% /22.8)			
octo [PTH ⁺ 17]	46% / 16.5	+47% (67% / 20.9)	+57% (72% /24.5)	+57% (72% /24.5)			
beethoven [SH02]	59% / 16.2	+31% (77% / 20.1)	+36% (80% /22.1)	+36% (80% /22.1)			
bull [SH02]	55% / 6.9	+37% (75% / 8.5)	+37% (75% /8.5)	+58% (87% /13.0)			
bunny [SH02]	62% / 14.1	$\begin{array}{c} +28\% (79\% / 18.1) \\ +54\% (56\% / 11.4) \end{array}$	+30% (81% /20.0)	+31% (81% /23.0)			
camel [SH02] cat [SH02]	$36\% / 9.9 \\ 68\% / 4.3$	+34% (30% / 11.4) 0% (68% / 4.3)	+120% (79% /13.9) +25% (85% /6.1)	+128% (82% /14.9) +33% (90% /6.5)			
cow [SH02]	49% / 9.1	+57% (77% / 11.4)	+66% (82% /13.1)	+66% (82% /13.1)			
dinosaur [SH02]	44% / 14.0	+73% (77% / 18.2)	+76% (78% /20.9)	+83% (81% /22.7)			
eight [SH02]	53% / 5.8	+43% (76% / 7.2)	+43% (76% /7.2)	+60% (85% /9.6)			
feline [SH02]	54% / 17.5	+37% (75% / 20.5)	+41% (77% /25.5)	+41% (77% /25.5)			
fender [SH02]	55% / 13.8	+46% (81% / 17.9)	+56% (86% /20.2)	+56% (86% /20.2)			
gargoyle [SH02] head [SH02]	55% / 11.0 46% / 11.9	+33% (73% / 12.9) +69% (77% / 15.1)	$\begin{array}{c} +53\% \ (83\% \ /16.4) \\ +77\% \ (81\% \ /17.2) \end{array}$	+56% (85% /18.1) +79% (82% /18.1)			
horse [SH02]	51% / 7.8	+34% (69% / 9.7)	+50% (77% /11.1)	+73% (82% /18.1) +71% (87% /13.9)			
knot [SH02]	40% / 6.8	+125% (90% / 8.0)	+125% (90% /8.0)	+125% (90% /8.0)			
pig [SH02]	60% / 5.3	0% (60% / 5.3)	+34% (81% /7.7)	+43% (87% /10.0)			
rabbit [SH02]	71% / 9.7	+13% (81% / 12.0)	+16% (83% /13.9)	+18% (84% /15.4)			
triceratops [SH02]	61% / 6.8	+20% (74% / 8.6)	+35% (83% /9.6)	+40% (86% /13.2)			
aircraft [Lip12]	68% / 12.2	+23% (84% / 15.7)	+23% (84% /15.7)	+23% (84% /15.7)			
cup [Lip12]	69% / 6.9	+16% (80% / 8.4)	+24% (85% /9.6)	+30% (89% /11.3)			
aircraft [BCW17] armadillo [BCW17]	$\begin{array}{c} 63\% \ / \ 12.2 \\ 55\% \ / \ 16.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +27\% \ (81\% \ / \ 15.3) \\ +38\% \ (76\% \ / \ 21.0) \end{array}$	+30% (82% /17.1) +38% (76% /21.0)	+30% (82% /19.3) +38% (76% /21.0)			
armchair [BCW17]	63% / 8.9	+14% (72% / 11.1)	+38% (70% /21.0) +29% (82% /13.2)	+34% (84% /14.4)			
blade [BCW17]	55% / 13.7	+43% (78% / 17.6)	+46% (80% /18.9)	+47% (80% /20.9)			
camel [BCW17]	66% / 24.7	+12% (75% / 29.5)	+12% (75% /29.5)	+12% (75% /29.5)			
cow2 [BCW17]	64% / 12.6	+17% (74% / 15.2)	+20% (76% /16.9)	+30% (83% /24.2)			
cup [BCW17]	52% / 8.7	+46% (76% / 11.1)	+60% (83% /13.1)	+67% (87% /14.9)			
ramses [BCW17]	58% / 10.8	+29% (75% / 14.0)	+32% (77% /14.2)	+38% (80% /19.0)			
aircraft [BCE $^+13$]	68% / 11.6	+20% (82% / 14.5)	+24% (85% /17.3)	+27% (87% /21.2)			
$\begin{array}{c} \text{camel [BCE^+13]} \\ \text{cup [BCE^+13]} \end{array}$	49% / 21.4	+50% (74% / 26.5)	+50% (74% /26.5)	+50% (74% /26.5)			
aircraft [BZK09]	$69\% \ / \ 7.0$ $63\% \ / \ 12.2$	+13% (78% / 8.4) +25% (79% / 14.6)	+20% (82% /9.1) +31% (83% /18.2)	+34% (92% /13.5) +37% (86% /19.2)			
camel [BZK09]	53% / 23.6	+44% (76% / 29.4)	+44% (76% /32.6)	+44% (76% /32.6)			
cup [BZK09]	68% / 10.2	+21% (83% / 13.1)	+22% (84% /13.6)	+22% (84% /13.6)			
aircraft [MPZ14]	58% / 18.5	+40% (81% / 22.9)	+50% (87% /27.3)	+51% (88% /28.3)			
camel [MPZ14]	54% / 26.7	+39% (75% / 31.6)	+39% (75% /31.6)	+39% (75% /31.6)			
cup [MPZ14]	80% / 7.8	+14% (92% / 10.0)	+14% (92% /10.0)	+17% (94% /12.0)			
mannequin [MPZ14] maxplanck [MPZ14]	59% / 15.1 53% / 23.0	$\begin{array}{c} +23\% \ (73\% \ / \ 17.6) \\ +46\% \ (77\% \ / \ 27.8) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +36\% \ (81\% \ /21.3) \\ +46\% \ (77\% \ /27.8) \end{array}$	+36% (81% /21.3) +46% (77% /27.8)			
santa [MPZ14]	61% / 27.1	+25% (77% / 32.0)	+25% (77% /32.0)	+25% (77% /32.0)			
aircraft [LZ14]	61% / 13.1	+26% (76% / 15.7)	+32% (80% /18.9)	+37% (83% /20.0)			
beetle [LZ14]	65% / 18.9	+21% (78% / 22.4)	+21% (78% /22.4)	+21% (78% /22.4)			
bozbezbozzel [LZ14]	60% / 27.7	+20% (72% / 33.1)	+20% (72% /33.1)	+20% (72% /33.1)			
camel [LZ14]	54% / 22.1	+41% (76% / 27.8)	+41% (76% /27.8)	+41% (76% /27.8)			
cup [LZ14]	68% / 11.4	+22% (83% / 13.9)	+25% (85% /16.9)	+25% (85% /16.9)			
bird $[CZL^+15]$	30% / 9.4	+131% (70% / 11.5)	+172% (83% /13.7)	+181% (85% /18.4)			
duck $[CZL^+15]$	29% / 10.7 30% / 9.6	+159% (76% / 13.3) +114% (64% / 11.2)	+160% (76% /16.1) +167% (80% /14.0)	+169% (79% /19.9) +181% (84% /17.6)			
excavator [CZL ⁺ 15] jordan [CZL ⁺ 15]	30% / 9.6 16% / 11.4	+114% (64% / 11.2) +273% (61% / 13.0)	+167% (80% /14.0) +370% (76% /16.1)	+181% (84% /17.6) +388% (79% /19.2)			
tower $[CZL^+15]$	38% / 10.6	+273% (01% / 13.0) +40% (54% / 12.5)	+89% (73% /13.9)	+388% (79% /19.2) +131% (89% /19.3)			
bunny [JKS05]	68% / 17.6	+40% (34% / 12.3) +14% (77% / 21.0)	+14% (77% /21.0)	+14% (77% /21.0)			
fandisk [JKS05]	61% / 17.4	+37% (83% / 22.2)	+39% (84% /24.9)	+39% (84% /24.9)			
feline [JKS05]	60% / 35.4	+18% (71% / 40.6)	+18% (71% /40.6)	+18% (71% /40.6)			
gargoyle [JKS05]	61% / 18.4	+30% (79% / 23.1)	+30% (79% /23.1)	+30% (79% /23.1)			
horse [JKS05]	62% / 20.2	+24% (77% / 25.1)	+24% (77% /25.1)	+24% (77% /25.1)			
feline [ZSGS04]	67% / 26.1	+10% (73% / 28.6) +23% (70% / 18.7)	+10% (73% /28.6) +26% (81% /20.2)	+10% (73% /28.6) +26% (81% /20.2)			
gargoyle [ZSGS04] horse [ZSGS04]	65% / 15.2 71% / 13.4	$\begin{array}{c} +23\% (79\% / 18.7) \\ +14\% (81\% / 16.6) \end{array}$	+26% (81% /20.2) +14% (81% /16.6)	+26% (81% /20.2) +14% (81% /16.6)			
dancer2 [JSP17]	31% / 11.0	+147% (81% / 10.0) +141% (75% / 14.0)	+1478(81%/10.0) +167%(83%/16.1)	+1476(81%/10.0) +167%(83%/16.1)			
fertility [JSP17]	41% / 15.1	+90% (78% / 19.6)	+99% (81% /22.4)	+99% (81% /22.4)			
fish [JSP17]	44% / 9.4	+54% (67% / 10.3)	+54% (67% /10.3)	+88% (82% /16.7)			
moai [JSP17]	49% / 7.0	+70% (83% / 9.0)	+70% (83% /9.0)	+81% (88% /11.6)			
rockerarm [JSP17]	42% / 12.4	+75% (74% / 15.3)	+86% (79% /18.4)	+96% (83% /22.6)			
venus [JSP17]	59% / 5.4	+25% (73% / 6.4)	+40% (82% /7.8)	+55% (91% / 10.7)			
Min. Max.	16% (4.3) 80% (35.4)	0% + 273%	+10% +370%	$^{+10\%}_{+388\%}$			
Average	55% (13.8)	+273% +45%	+570% +55%	+388% +60%			
Median	58% (12.2)	+33%	+39%	+41%			
ι	× /	,		• • • •			

Table 1: Results of packing efficiency optimization on a large test data set.

	BLen Increase (Pack. Eff.)							
Model [Method]	[Lévy et al. 02]	Overl. Cut	Welded					
beethoven [SH02]	+76% (62%)	+13% (59%)	+13% (59%)					
bunny [SH02]	+47% (57%)	+17% (62%)	+17% (62%)					
dinosaur [SH02]	+28% (45%)	+18% (44%)	+18% (44%)					
feline [SH02]	+58% (48%)	+14% (54%)	+14% (54%)					
fender [SH02]	+40% (51%)	+29% (55%)	+29% (55%)					
gargoyle [SH02]	+30% (54%)	+15% (55%)	+15% (55%)					
head [SH02]	+39% (45%)	+16% (46%)	+16% (46%)					
rabbit [SH02]	+88% (67%)	+27% (71%)	+27% (71%)					
aircraft [Lip12]	+70% (71%)	+25% (68%)	+7% (68%)					
cup [Lip12]	+37% (81%)	+42% (71%)	-13% (69%)					
aircraft [BCW17]	+76% (62%)	+17% (66%)	+4% (63%)					
armadillo [BCW17]	+55% (64%)	+ 26% (64%)	+13% (55%)					
armchair [BCW17]	+29% (63%)	+12% (63%)	+12% (63%)					
blade [BCW17]	+35% (43%)	+22% (60%)	+16% (55%)					
camel [BCW17]	+95% (58%)	+27% (67%)	+22% (66%)					
cow2 [BCW17]	+70% (56%)	+23% (65%)	+16% (64%)					
cup [BCW17]	+33% (77%)	+ 63% (59%)	+9% (52%)					
ramses [BCW17]	+35% (58%)	+18% (56%)	+18% (58%)					
aircraft [BCE ⁺ 13]	+71% (70%)	+25% (69%)	+2% (68%)					
camel $[BCE^+13]$	+92% (61%)	+ 26% (57%)	+8% (49%)					
$cup [BCE^+13]$	+37% (81%)	+42% (71%)	- 13% (69%)					
aircraft [BZK09]	+72% (68%)	+ 23% (64%)	+ 7% (63%)					
camel [BZK09]	+89% (57%)	+26% (56%)	+19% (53%)					
cup [BZK09]	+36% (81%)	+64% (68%)	+28% (68%)					
aircraft [MPZ14]	+57% (64%)	+12% (66%)	+1% (58%)					
camel [MPZ14]	+74% (70%)	+12% (64%)	-1% (54%)					
cup [MPZ14]	+21% (76%)	+ 25% (81%)	+25% (80%)					
mannequin [MPZ14]	+81% (64%)	+14% (66%)	-4% (59%)					
maxplanck [MPZ14]	+71% (47%)	+18% (58%)	+4% (53%)					
santa [MPZ14]	+58% (60%)	+15% (66%)	- 1% (61%)					
aircraft [LZ14]	+75% (63%)	+19% (65%)	+9% (61%)					
beetle [LZ14]	+66% (40%)	+24% (66%)	+14% (65%)					
bozbezbozzel [LZ14]	+92% (64%)	+29% (65%)	+15% (60%)					
camel [LZ14]	+93% (64%)	+26% (63%)	+12% (54%)					
cup [LZ14]	+37% (81%)	+68% (68%)	+42% (68%)					
feline [JKS05]	+4% (59%)	+2% (60%)	+2% (60%)					
Min.	+4% (40%)	+ 2% (44%)	-13% (44%)					
Max.	+95% (81%)	+68% (81%)	+42% (80%)					
Average	+57% (62%)	+25% (63%)	+12% (60%)					
Median	+58% (62%)	+ 23% (64%)	+13% (60%)					

Table 2: Our overlap removal strategy compared to the standard method of Lévy et al.[LPRM02]. Numbers show boundary length increase (smaller is better) and packing efficiency (higher is better). Data sets in the second vertical section of the table are using globally continuous parameterizations, hence their seams can be welded together to reduce the boundary length, in some cases even below the original one.

		D		\ \
Model [Method]	#Triangles	BL < 130%	untime (second	
armadillo [PTH ⁺ 17]	5,000	BL < 130% 61.4	BL < 150% 115.4	BL < 200% 267.2
$elk [PTH^+17]$	10,387	69.7	221.4	404.7
girl $[PTH^+17]$	19,735	169.8	422.4	793.4
octo $[PTH^+17]$	4,181	80.7	422.4 182.3	244.8
beethoven [SH02]	4,181 4,429	90.7	239.7	376.3
bull [SH02]	34,504	70.4	70.4	449.1
bunny [SH02]	15,201	188.6	408.1	590.5
camel [SH02]	4,884	19.0	118.9	332.6
cat [SH02]	671	0.0	20.2	55.0
cow [SH02]	5,804	53.7	328.3	328.3
dinosaur [SH02]	28,136	186.3	466.3	890.7
eight [SH02]	1,536	18.5	18.5	145.9
feline [SH02]	99,732	640.4	1350.1	1419.6
fender [SH02]	$122,510 \\ 20,000$	195.9 28.6	964.2 90.9	$1409.2 \\ 466.7$
gargoyle [SH02] head [SH02]	7,232	88.9	221.1	400.7
horse [SH02]	96,966	108.5	208.1	1264.4
knot [SH02]	1,350	16.8	28.9	61.8
pig [SH02]	3,560	0.0	25.5	121.6
rabbit [SH02]	902	50.1	75.9	205.4
triceratops [SH02]	5,660	13.2	43.0	168.9
aircraft [Lip12]	4,656	259.3	259.3	259.3
cup [Lip12]	11,340	15.2	51.6	205.4
aircraft [BCW17]	4,656	$101.9 \\ 520.9$	179.8	287.6 659.5
armadillo [BCW17] armchair [BCW17]	$43,160 \\ 100,000$	520.9 74.2	659.5 389.9	$659.5 \\ 1172.4$
blade [BCW17]	58,546	386.9	547.8	845.0
camel [BCW17]	69,092	968.4	968.4	968.4
cow2 [BCW17]	8,626	52.7	112.5	455.9
cup [BCW17]	11,340	34.5	143.5	342.4
ramses [BCW17]	100,000	165.8	247.8	1242.5
aircraft [BCE ⁺ 13]	4,656	68.6	146.1	285.3
camel [BCE ⁺ 13]	69,092	1080.6	1080.6	1080.6
cup [BCE ⁺ 13]	11,340	15.7	34.6	201.8
aircraft [BZK09] camel [BZK09]	$4,656 \\ 69,092$	88.7 999.1	$190.0 \\ 1349.8$	$487.4 \\ 1349.8$
cup [BZK09]	11,340	70.4	222.6	222.6
aircraft [MPZ14]	11,277	186.4	448.5	533.3
camel [MPZ14]	$110,\!656$	1141.2	1141.2	1141.2
cup [MPZ14]	16,758	142.6	142.6	343.7
mannequin [MPZ14]	130,625	362.1	1285.0	1285.0
maxplanck [MPZ14]	142,309	1854.2	1854.2	1854.2
santa [MPZ14] aircraft [LZ14]	$200,892 \\ 4,656$	1827.2 82.6	$1827.2 \\ 144.8$	$1827.2 \\ 386.6$
beetle [LZ14]	38,726	831.1	883.7	883.7
bozbezbozzel [LZ14]	50,000	846.0	846.0	846.0
camel [LZ14]	69,092	798.4	798.4	798.4
cup [LZ14]	11,340	67.4	214.4	285.6
bird [CZL ⁺ 15]	171	27.2	60.8	286.8
duck [CZL ⁺ 15]	154	34.8	87.0	307.0
excavator [CZL ⁺ 15]	55	22.2	54.1	275.2
jordan $[CZL^+15]$	159	71.6	166.7	359.7
tower [CZL ⁺ 15]	94	12.5	30.7	367.1
bunny [JKS05] fandisk [JKS05]	$69,451 \\ 9,926$	992.6 189.6	992.6 295.5	992.6 295.5
feline [JKS05]	9,926 41,262	$189.6 \\ 1340.3$	295.5 1340.3	295.5 1340.3
gargoyle [JKS05]	20,000	577.6	741.8	741.8
horse [JKS05]	19,996	456.4	585.8	585.8
feline [ZSGS04]	41,262	719.6	719.6	719.6
gargoyle [ZSGS04]	20,000	244.9	511.3	511.3
horse [ZSGS04]	19,996	258.6	258.6	258.6
dancer2 [JSP17] fertility [JSP17]	18,292 27.954	64.1 106.7	$255.2 \\ 471.0$	$393.7 \\ 471.0$
fish [JSP17]	$27,954 \\ 13,465$	21.1	471.0 21.1	471.0 260.2
moai [JSP17]	20,000	54.3	54.3	358.8
rockerarm [JSP17]	20,088	168.4	354.9	726.6
venus [JSP17]	1,396	9.7	20.8	98.0
Min.		0.0	18.5	55.0
Max.		1854.2	1854.2	1854.2
Average Median		$306.9 \\ 90.7$	$430.0 \\ 239.7$	$597.6 \\ 440.8$
meulan		90.7	209.1	440.0

Table 3: Runtime performance, in seconds, for packing efficiency optimization.

References

- [BCE⁺13] David Bommes, Marcel Campen, Hans-Christian Ebke, Pierre Alliez, and Leif Kobbelt. Integer-grid maps for reliable quad meshing. ACM Trans. Graph., 32(4):98:1–98:12, July 2013.
- [BCW17] Alon Bright, Edward Chien, and Ofir Weber. Harmonic global parametrization with rational holonomy. ACM Trans. Graph., 36(4):89:1–89:15, July 2017.
- [BZK09] David Bommes, Henrik Zimmer, and Leif Kobbelt. Mixed-integer quadrangulation. ACM Trans. Graph., 28(3):77:1–77:10, July 2009.
- [CZL⁺15] Xuelin Chen, Hao Zhang, Jinjie Lin, Ruizhen Hu, Lin Lu, Qixing Huang, Bedrich Benes, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Baoquan Chen. Dapper: Decomposeand-pack for 3d printing. ACM Trans. Graph., 34(6):213:1–213:12, October 2015.
- [JKS05] Dan Julius, Vladislav Kraevoy, and Alla Sheffer. D-charts: Quasi-developable mesh segmentation. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 24(3):581–590, 2005.
- [JSP17] Zhongshi Jiang, Scott Schaefer, and Daniele Panozzo. Simplicial complex augmentation framework for bijective maps. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 36(6):186:1– 186:9, 2017.
- [Lip12] Yaron Lipman. Bounded distortion mapping spaces for triangular meshes. ACM Trans. Graph., 31(4):108:1–108:13, July 2012.
- [LPRM02] Bruno Lévy, Sylvain Petitjean, Nicolas Ray, and Jérome Maillot. Least squares conformal maps for automatic texture atlas generation. In Proceedings of the 29th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, SIGGRAPH '02, pages 362–371, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM.
- [LZ14] Zohar Levi and Denis Zorin. Strict minimizers for geometric optimization. ACM Trans. Graph., 33(6):185:1–185:14, November 2014.
- [MPZ14] Ashish Myles, Nico Pietroni, and Denis Zorin. Robust field-aligned global parametrization. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 33(4):135:1–135:14, July 2014.
- [PTH⁺17] Roi Poranne, Marco Tarini, Sandro Huber, Daniele Panozzo, and Olga Sorkine-Hornung. Autocuts: Simultaneous distortion and cut optimization for uv mapping, 2017.
- [SH02] Alla Sheffer and John C. Hart. Seamster: Inconspicuous low-distortion texture seam layout. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Visualization '02*, VIS '02, pages 291–298, Washington, DC, USA, 2002. IEEE Computer Society.
- [ZSGS04] Kun Zhou, John Synder, Baining Guo, and Heung-Yeung Shum. Iso-charts: Stretch-driven mesh parameterization using spectral analysis. In Proceedings of the 2004 Eurographics/ACM SIGGRAPH Symposium on Geometry Processing, SGP '04, pages 45–54, New York, NY, USA, 2004. ACM.