SIGGRAPH2004

Cross-Parameterization and Compatible Remeshing of 3D Models

Vladislav Kraevoy Alla Sheffer Department of Computer Science University of British Columbia

X-Parameterization



- X-Parameterization: mapping between surface models (meshes)
- Applications:
 - Properties transfer
 - Morphing



Editing – e.g. blendingTemplate fitting

X-Parameterization



Requirements

- Bijectivity (one-to-one)
- Feature correspondence
 - vertex to vertex
- Low distortion



Compatible Remeshing



- Compatible meshes meshes with identical connectivity
- Required by many applications of Xparameterization
 - Morphing
 - Editing
 - Prerequisite: X-parameterization



Previous work: X-parameterization

- [Lee et al. 1999, Alexa 2001, Michikawa et al. 2001, Praun et al. 2001, Allen et al. 2003, Schreiner et al. 04]
- Most use heuristics can fail
- Base mesh [Lee et al. 1999, Michikawa et al. 2001, Praun et al. 2001, Schreiner et al. 04]



- Segment meshes into triangular patches (same connectivity)
- Map patches to base triangles
- [Praun et al. 01] given a base mesh robustly construct segmentation
- [Schreiner et al. 04] more later

Previous work: Compatible remeshing



- Mutual tessellation [Alexa 2000, Schreiner et al. 04]
 - Intersect meshes in parameter domain
- Regular base mesh refinement
 [Lee et al. 1999, Praun et al. 2001]
 Remesh with subdivision connectivity
- Both methods: output meshes much larger (~x10) than input
 For accurate approximation





Technique Goals



X-Parameterization

- Bijective
- Exact feature vertex correspondence
- Low distortion (preserve shape)
- Minimal user input: models + feature vertices

Compatible remeshing

- Closely approximate the input models
- Similar (order of magnitude) number of elements as input

Efficient & robust

Algorithm Stages



SIGGRAPH2004

Input: models + features





1. Common base mesh construction

Provably correct for genus 0













3. Compatible remeshing





Initial X-Parameterization

- Map each patch to corresponding base triangle
 - Shape preserving parameterization [Floater 2003]
 - Guarantee bijectivity







Distortion & Artifacts



- Badly shaped patches = high distortion
- Artifacts: map polyline patch boundaries to straight lines
- Need additional processing to reduce distortion



X-Parameterization: Smoothing



- Optimize (smooth) base mesh parameterization
 - Move vertices between base mesh triangles
- Unconstrained parameterization [Khodakovsky et al.,2003]
 - Solve (repeatedly) a global linear system far from trivial...
 - No guarantee of bijectivity
- Our approach
 - Treat base as set of overlapping domains
 - Iteratively optimize mapping within each domain

Overlapping domain structure



- Domain per base triangle
 - Contains base triangle + 3 adjacent
 - Mapped to equilateral triangle in 2D
 - Parameterize all vertices mapped to those triangles onto the domain



Smoothing



• For each base triangle *b*

- Create corresponding overlapping domain
- Compute new location in equilateral domain for each vertex on b
 - Based on neighbors
 - Shape preserving [Floater 2003]
- Map vertices back to base mesh
 - Find base triangle & compute coordinates
- Repeat
- Adjacency assumption







Smoothing Framework







Drastically reduce distortion

- Improves patch shape + relaxes boundaries
- Preserve bijectivity
- Generic framework can replace the shape preserving objective function by any other

Results





Normal transfer 40K/40K faces 59 sec







Texture transfer 80K/7K faces 56 sec





Motion transfer joint result with [Sumner & Popovic 04]

Compatible Remeshing

Previous:

- overlay or subdivision
- increase mesh size by order of magnitude

ldea:

- Use connectivity of one model ("source") as basis
- Map to second model ("target") using X-parameterization
- Improve target approximation
 - local modifications









Mesh Improvement



X-parameterization between target & approximation
 Use intrinsic map between source and target approximation











- Use for
 - Computing approximation error
 - Error = distance between target vertex & its map
 - Conservative
 - Local modifications



Compatible Remeshing



- Operations:
 - Smoothing
 - Refinement

Smoothing

- Use overlapping domains framework
- Error based relocation formula
- Refine edges based on error
 - Iterate









40K/40K faces – 49K faces



Results





Blending



Summary



Robust method for constrained X-parameterization

- Input: two (or more) models + corresponding feature vertices
- Automatic construction of base mesh
 - Provably correct for genus=0
 - For genus > 0 works most of the time
- New framework for low-distortion parameterization on base mesh
 - Independent of patch structure
 - Can be applied with different objective functions
 - Efficient

Summary



New compatible remeshing scheme

- Closely approximate input
- Small output mesh
 - ~20% more triangles

Future

 Using smoothing framework for unconstrained parameterization



Comparison to [Schreiner et al. 04]



	Our method	[Schreiner et al. 04]
Base Construction		More robust for genus > 0 (add extra feature vertices)
Smoothing	2 min 64K (overlapping domains)	2 hours 64K (overlay smoothing)
Constraints	Exact constraints	Relax constraints to reduce distortion
Remeshing	output: ~x1.2 triangles as source input (parameterization based scheme)	output: ~x8 triangles as inputs (typical) (mutual tessellation)

Movie !!!



Cross-Parameterization and Compatible Remeshing of 3D Models

