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Why talk about a textbook to a room of experts?

- many folks here in graphics or HCl , but few in visualization
- my own roots in graphics, later added HCl quant methods, then HCl qual methods
- convince you of the value in thinking systematically about vis design
- decompose into comprehensive framework of principles and design choices
-situate specific examples within framework as concrete illustrations
- provide unified view that crosscuts entire field of visualization -infovis and scivis: addressing different kinds of data
- visual analytics: interweave data analysis \& transformation w/ interactive visual exploration
- caveat: my own background in infovis shines through!


## Analysis framework: Four levels, three questions

- domain situation
- abstraction
[A Nested Model of Visualization Design and Validation. Munzner. IEEETVCG I5(6):92I-928, 2009 (Proc. InfoVis 2009).]
- what is shown? data abstraction
- often don't just draw what you're given: transform to new form
- why is the user looking at it? task abstraction
- idiom

- visual encoding idiom: how to draw
- interaction idiom: how to manipulate
[A Multi-Level Typology of Abstract Visualization Tasks
- algorithm
- efficient computation


## Why is validation difficult?

- different ways to get it wrong at each level

1 Domain situation
You misunderstood their needs

O Data/task abstraction
You're showing them the wrong thingVisual encoding/interaction idiom
The way you show it doesn't work
m Algorithm
Your code is too slow

## Why is validation difficult?

- solution: use methods from different fields at each level

| anthropology/ ethnography | \& Domain situation Observe target users using existing tools <br> Data/task abstraction | problem-driven work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| design | Visual encoding/interaction idiom Justify design with respect to alternatives | $\theta$ |
| computer science | Algorithm | technique-driven work |
| cognitive | Analyze results qualitatively |  |
| psychology | Measure human time with lab experiment (lab study) |  |
| anthropology/ | Observe target users after deployment (field study) |  |
| ethnography | Measure adoption |  |

## Why analyze?

- imposes a structure on huge design space

> - scaffold to help you think systematically about choices
> - analyzing existing as stepping stone to designing new

$\Theta$ Tree


## Why?

$\Theta$ Actions
How?

## TreeJuxtaposer


[TreeJuxtaposer: Scalable Tree Comparison Using Focus +Context With Guaranteed Visibility. ACM Trans. on Graphics (Proc. SIGGRAPH) 22:453-462, 2003.]
$\rightarrow$ Present $\rightarrow$ Locate $\rightarrow$ Identify

$\Theta$ SpaceTree
$\rightarrow$ Encode $\rightarrow$ Navigate $\rightarrow$ Select $\rightarrow$ Filter $\quad \rightarrow$ Aggregate
$\Theta$ TreeJuxtaposer
$\rightarrow$ Encode $\rightarrow$ Navigate $\rightarrow$ Select $\rightarrow$ Arrange
$\Theta$ Targets
$\rightarrow$ Path between two nodes


[SpaceTree: Supporting Exploration in Large Node Link Tree, Design Evolution and Empirical Evaluation. Grosjean, Plaisant, and Bederson. Proc. InfoVis 2002, p 57-64.] ical -

## What?

Why?
How?

What?


## Types: Datasets and data

## $\Theta$ Dataset Types

$\rightarrow$ Tables
$\rightarrow$ Networks

$\Theta$ Attribute Types
$\rightarrow$ Categorical

$\rightarrow$ Spatial
$\rightarrow$ Fields (Continuous) $\quad \rightarrow$ Geometry (Spatial)

$\rightarrow$ Ordered

$$
\rightarrow \text { Ordinal }
$$


$\rightarrow$ Quantitative



## Why?

## What?

## How?

- \{action, target\} pairs
- discover distribution
- compare trends
- locate outliers
- browse topology

Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume

$\rightarrow$ Produce

$\Theta$ Search

|  | Target known | Target unknown |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Location <br> known | $\ddots \cdot \cdot$ Lookup | $\ddots \because$ | Browse

$\rightarrow$ Query
$\rightarrow$ Identify

$\rightarrow$ Summarize

$\leftrightarrow$ All Data

$\leftrightarrow$

$\rightarrow$ Extremes illı.
$\Theta$ Network Data
$\rightarrow$ Topology

$\rightarrow$ Paths
$\Theta$ Spatial Data
$\rightarrow$ Shape

Actions:Analyze, Query

- analyze
- consume
- discover vs present
- aka explore vs explain
- enjoy
- aka casual, social
-produce
- annotate, record, derive
- query
-how much data matters?
- one, some, all
- independent choices
-analyze, query, (search)
$\Theta$ Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume

$\rightarrow$ Produce
$\rightarrow$ Annotate

$\Theta$ Query
$\rightarrow$ Identify

$\rightarrow$ Derive

$\rightarrow$ Compare

$\rightarrow$ Summarize


## $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square$ $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square$ $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square$ 

## Derive: Crucial Design Choice

- don't just draw what you're given!
- decide what the right thing to show is
- create it with a series of transformations from the original dataset
- draw that
- one of the four major strategies for handling complexity




## Targets

$\Theta$ All Data

$\Theta$ Attributes

$\Theta$ Network Data
$\rightarrow$ Topology

$\Theta$ Spatial Data
$\rightarrow$ Shape


## How?

## Encode



## $\Theta$ Map

from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...

- ■ I/= | ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape
$+\quad \square \Delta$
$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



## Manipulate

Facet
$\Theta$ Juxtapose

$\Theta$ Select

$\Theta$ Navigate
$\because \because>$
$\Theta$ Superimpose


## Reduce

$\Theta$ Filter

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed


## How to encode: Arrange space, map channels

Encode


## Definitions: Marks and channels

- marks
$\Theta$ Points
$\Theta$ Lines
$\rightarrow$ Areas
- geometric primitives
- channels
- control appearance of marks
Shape
$\Theta$ Tilt
- 米
$1 \square$

$\Theta$ Size
$\rightarrow$ Length
- $\qquad$
$\rightarrow$ Area
- $\square$
$\rightarrow$ Volume



## Encoding visually with marks and channels

- analyze idiom structure
-as combination of marks and channels


1:
vertical position


2 :
vertical position horizontal position

$3:$
vertical position horizontal position color hue
mark: point mark: point


4: vertical position
horizontal position color hue size (area)
mark: point

## Channels



## Channels: Matching Types

$\Theta$ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes

| Position on common scale | $\stackrel{\square}{\longmapsto}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Position on unaligned scale | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\longmapsto}$ |
| Length (1D size) |  |
| Tilt/angle | $1 /$ |
| Area (2D size) | - ■ $\square$ |
| Depth (3D position) | $\longmapsto \bullet \longmapsto \bullet$ |
| Color luminance |  |
| Color saturation |  |
| Curvature | ( ) ) |
| Volume (3D size) |  |

$\Theta$ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes


- expressiveness principle -match channel and data characteristics


## Channels: Rankings

$\Theta$ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes

| Position on common scale | $\longmapsto-\longrightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Position on unaligned scale | $\stackrel{-}{\longmapsto}$ |
| Length (1D size) | - - - |
| Tilt/angle | $1 / 2$ |
| Area (2D size) | - ■ |
| Depth (3D position) | $\longmapsto \bullet \longmapsto \bullet$ |
| Color luminance |  |
| Color saturation |  |
| Curvature | $1)$ ) |
| Volume (3D size) | - |

$\Theta$ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes
Spatial region

Color hue

Motion

Shape


- expressiveness principle
- match channel and data characteristics
- effectiveness principle
- encode most important attributes with highest ranked channels


## How?

## Encode



## $\Theta$ Map

from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...

- ■ I / _ \| ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape
$+\quad \square \Delta$
$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



How to handle complexity: 3 more strategies $+I$ previous



$\qquad$
$\Theta$ Filter

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\rightarrow$ Derive

$\Theta$ Select

$\Theta$ Partition

$\Theta$ Navigate

$\Theta$ Superimpose

$\Theta$ Embed


- change over time
- most obvious \& flexible of the 4 strategies

How to handle complexity: 3 more strategies

+ I previous


$\rightarrow$ Derive

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed

- facet data across multiple views


## Idiom: Linked highlighting

## System: EDV

- see how regions contiguous in one view are distributed within another
- powerful and pervasive interaction idiom
- encoding: different
- data: all shared

[Visual Exploration of Large Structured Datasets.Wills. Proc. New Techniques and Trends in Statistics (NTTS), pp. 237-246. IOS Press, 1995.]


## Idiom: bird's-eye maps

System: Google Maps

- encoding: same
- data: subset shared
- navigation: shared - bidirectional linking
- differences
- viewpoint
- (size)
- overview-detail

[A Review of Overview+Detail, Zooming, and Focus+Context Interfaces. Cockburn, Karlson, and Bederson. ACM Computing Surveys 4I:I (2008), I-3I.]


## Idiom: Small multiples

System: Cerebral

- encoding: same
- data: none shared
- different attributes for node colors
-(same network layout)
- navigation: shared

[Cerebral:Visualizing Multiple Experimental Conditions on a Graph with Biological Context. Barsky, Munzner, Gardy, and Kincaid. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 2008) I4:6 (2008), I253-I 260.$]$


## Coordinate views: Design choice interaction

|  |  | Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All | Subset | None |
|  | Same | Redundant | Overview/ Detail | Small Multiples |
|  | Different | Multiform | Multiform, Overview/ Detail | No Linkage |

- why juxtapose views?
-benefits: eyes vs memory
- lower cognitive load to move eyes between 2 views than remembering previous state with single changing view
- costs: display area, 2 views side by side each have only half the area of one view

How to handle complexity: 3 more strategies

+ I previous

- reduce what is shown within single view


## Reduce items and attributes

- reduce/increase: inverses
- filter
- pro: straightforward and intuitive
- to understand and compute
- con: out of sight, out of mind
- aggregation
- pro: inform about whole set
- con: difficult to avoid losing signal
- not mutually exclusive
- combine filter, aggregate
- combine reduce, facet, change, derive
$\Theta$ Filter
$\rightarrow$ Items

$\rightarrow$ Attributes


## 

$\Theta$ Aggregate
$\rightarrow$ Items

$\rightarrow$ Attributes

$\Theta$ Filter

## 

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed


## Idiom: boxplot

- static item aggregation
- task: find distribution
- data: table
- derived data
-5 quant attribs
- median: central line
- lower and upper quartile: boxes
- lower upper fences: whiskers
- values beyond which items are outliers

- outliers beyond fence cutoffs explicitly shown
[40 years of boxplots.Wickham and Stryjewski. 20I 2. had.co.nz]


## Idiom: Dimensionality reduction for documents

- attribute aggregation
- derive low-dimensional target space from high-dimensional measured space


Task 2


Task 3



Out
$\rightarrow$ Labels for clusters

| What? | Why? |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Theta$ In Scatterplot | $\Theta$ Produce |
| $\Theta$ In Clusters \& points | $\Theta$ Annotate |
| $\Theta$ Out Labels for <br> clusters |  |



A quick taste of my own work!


Technique-driven: Graph drawing


TopoLayout
SPF
Grouse
GrouseFlocks
TugGraph

## Evaluation: Graph drawing



Stretch and squish navigation


## Technique-driven:

Stephen Ingram


Glimmer


Glint


DimStiller


QSNE

## Evaluation: Dimensionality reduction

Melanie Tory


Points vs landscapes for dimensionally reduced data

Melanie Tory
Michael Sedlmair (UVic)


## Problem-driven: Genomics



Hanspeter Pfister
Miriah Meyer

(Harvard)



Cerebral


## Problem-driven: Genomics, fisheries



## Problem-driven: Many domains



Diane Tang
Heidi Lam

(Google)

SessionViewer: web log analysis



LiveRAC: systems time-series

## Evaluation: Focus+Context

Ron Rensink

Heidi Lam


Lam

(UBC)


Distortion impact on search/memory

Robert Kincaid (Agilent)



Separate vs integrated views

# Journalism 



Johanna Fulda (Sud. Zeitung)


Jonathan Stray
(Assoc Press)


E



TimeLineCurator

## Theoretical foundations

| - Visual Encoding Pitfalls | - Strategy Pitfalls |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Unjustified Visual Encoding | - What I Did Over My Summer |
| - Hammer In Search Of Nail | - Least Publishable Unit |
| - 2D Good, 3D Better | - Dense As Plutonium |
| - Color Cacophony | - Bad Slice and Dice |
| - Rainbows Just Like In The Sky |  |

## Papers Process \& Pitfalls



Design Study Methodology

Michael Sedlmair



Matt Brehmer

## Abstract Tasks



## More Information

- this talk
http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/talks.htm|\#vadl6gi
- book page (including tutorial lecture slides) http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/vadbook
- 20\% promo code for book+ebook combo: HVNI7
- http://www.crcpress.com/product/isbn/978I466508910
-illustrations: Eamonn Maguire
- papers, videos, software, talks, courses http://www.cs.ubc.ca/group/infovis


