

Lecture 3: Focus+Context

Information Visualization

CPSG 533C, Fall 2006

Tamara Munzner

UBC Computer Science

19 September 2006

Papers Covered

A Review and Taxonomy of Distortion-Oriented Presentation Techniques. Y.K. Leung and M.D. Apperly. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, Vol. 1, No. 2, June 1994, pp. 126-160. [http://www.a.mil.edu/people/jmrylin/papers/leung94.pdf]

Nonlinear Magnification Fields. Alan Skaife. Proc. InfoVis 1987. [http://cse.wisc.edu/~leahay/07nonlinear.html]

The Hyperbolic Browser: A Focus + Context Technique for Visualizing Large Hierarchies. John Langford and Tamara Munzner. Proc. SIGCHI '95. [http://cse.wisc.edu/~leung/95hyperbolic.html]

HG: Laying Out Large Directed Graphs in 3D Hyperbolic Space. Tamara Munzner. Proc. InfoVis '97. [http://graphics.stanford.edu/papers/hg/]


The Jumpstart: Scalable Tree Comparison using Focus+Context with Guaranteed Visibility. Munzner, Gunzbraun, Taitan, Zheng, and Zhou. SIGGRAPH 2003. [http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tamara/papers/V/]

hyperbolic geometry background, if time


Focus+Context Intuition

- move part of surface closer to eye
- stretchable rubber sheet
- borders tacked down
- merge overview and detail into combined view


Bifocal Display




Perspective Wall




Polyfocal: Continuous Magnification



Fisheye Views: Continuous Mag



Multiple Foci




Nonlinear Magnification

- transformation
 - distortion
- magnification
 - derivative of transformation
- directionality
 - easy: given transformation, compute magnification
 - differentiation
 - hard: given magnification, compute transformation
 - integration
- new mathematical framework
 - approximate integration, iterative refinement
 - minimize error mesh

Expressiveness

- magnification is more intuitive control
 - allow expressiveness, data-driven expansion



2D Hyperbolic Trees

- fisheye effect from hyperbolic geometry



3D Hyperbolic Graphs: H3

- spanning tree backbone for quasi-hierarchical graphs



Graph Layout Criteria

- minimize
 - crossings, area, bends/curves



Graph Layout Criteria

- minimize
 - crossings, area, bends/curves
- maximize
 - angular resolution, symmetry




Graph Layout Criteria

- minimize
 - crossings, area, bends/curves
- maximize
 - angular resolution, symmetry
- most criteria NP-hard
 - edge crossings (Garey and Johnson 83)



Graph Layout Criteria

- minimize
 - crossings, area, bends/curves
- maximize
 - angular resolution, symmetry
- most criteria NP-hard
 - edge crossings (Garey and Johnson 83)
- incompatible
 - (Brandenburg 88)



Layout

- problem
 - general problem is NP-hard



Layout

- problem
 - general problem is NP-hard
- solution
 - tractable spanning tree backbone
 - match mental model
 - quasi-hierarchical
 - use domain knowledge to construct
 - select parent from incoming links




Layout

- problem
 - general problem is NP-hard
- solution
 - tractable spanning tree backbone
 - match mental model
 - quasi-hierarchical
 - use domain knowledge to construct
 - select parent from incoming links
 - non-tree links on demand



Avoiding Disorientation

- problem
 - maintain user orientation when showing detail
 - hard for big datasets
- exponential in depth
 - node count, space needed



Overview and detail

- two windows: add linked overview
 - cognitive load to correlate



Overview and detail

- two windows: add linked overview
 - cognitive load to correlate



- solution
 - merge overview, detail
 - focus+context

Noneuclidean Geometry


- Euclid's 5th Postulate
 - exactly 1 parallel line
- spherical
 - geodesic = great circle
 - no parallels
- hyperbolic
 - infinite parallels



(stan.math.ucsb.edu/proj/gps/gps.htm)

Parallel vs. Equidistant

- euclidean: inseparable
- hyperbolic: different



Exponential Amount Of Room

room for exponential number of tree nodes

2D hyperbolic plane
embedded in 3D space



[Thurston and Weeks 84]


hemisphere area

hyperbolic: $2\pi \sinh^2 r$

euclidean: $2\pi r^2$

Models, 2D

Klein/projective Poincare/conformal Upper Half Space



(Three Dimensional Geometry and Topology, William Thurston, Princeton University Press)



Minkowski

1D Klein

hyperbola projects to line





image plane

eye point

2D Klein

hyperbola projects to disk




(graphics.stanford.edu/papers/munster_2dsk.html?coll=html&pg=2Dsk)

Klein vs Poincare

- Klein
 - straight lines stay straight
 - angles are distorted
- Poincare
 - angles are correct
 - straight lines curved
- graphics
 - Klein: 4x4 real matrix
 - Poincare: 2x2 complex matrix


Upper Half Space

- cut and unroll Poincare
 - one point on circle goes to infinity



(stan. www.geom.uminn.edu/~cohen/hyperbolic/hyper.html?coll=html&pg=upper.html)

Minkowski




(www.papadoc.us/and.ac.uk/History/Curves/Hyperbolic.html)

(www.geom.uminn.edu/~cohen/hyperbolic/hypermodel/minko.html)

the hyperboloid itself embedded one dimension higher

Models, 3D


- 3-hyperbola projects to solid ball
 - Upper Half Space
 - Minkowski



(graphics.stanford.edu/papers/webviz/)

3D vs. 2D Hyperbolic Scalability

- information density: 10x better



	center	fringe
30	dozens	thousands
20	dozens	hundreds

Scalability

- success: large local neighborhood visible, 5-9 hops
- limit: if graph diameter \gg visible area
 - TreeJuxtaposer: global vs. local F+C

