High Dimensionality I

Manifold Methods

Talk Overview

- Define Concepts and Problems
- Paper I: Charting A Manifold by Matthew Brand
- Paper 2: *Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Intrinsic Dimension* by Elizaveta Levina and Peter J. Bickel
- Discussion

Common Scientific Problem

- Make N observations
- Make a series of M measurements per observation

Common Scientific Problem

- Make N observations
- Make a series of M measurements per observation
- NOW WHAT?

Visualization

- Directly Visualize Dimensions
 - Parallel Coordinates
 - Glyphs
 - Star Coordinates
 - Etc.



Problem: Hidden Factors

True Dimensionality < Measured Dimensionality



Solution: Dimensionality Reduction

- Find the true dimensionality
- PCA Find Largest Axes of Variability And Construct a Plane



• MDS - Embed points based on Distances





• A topological space that looks locally like the Euclidean space Rⁿ







































What's Going On?

- Isomap depends on the integrity of the local structure of the manifold
- Noise perturbs the structure leading to an incorrect embedding.

















Semi-Invertable Transform

• A transformation to and from the manifold

- Three principal degrees of freedom recovered from raw jittered images pose scale
- - Interpolate on the manifold and "backproject" to original sample space

Critique

• GOOD

- Elegant, robust idea solves shortcomings of former methods
- Lots of novel examples to prove utility
- Backprojection provides visualization opportunities

• BAD

- Little appeal to intuition
- No Code
- Runtimes? How does it scale?

Paper II: Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Intrinsic Dimension

Elizaveta Levina and Peter J. Bickel



How do we get D?

- Most often = User makes a guess
- Use an estimation method
 - Projection Methods (PCA, local PCA)
 - Geometric Methods

Geometric Methods

- C(r) = average number of points in radius r for each point in dataset
- Plot log(C(r)) against log(r)
- D = slope























This is called the correlation dimension

How well does this work?

Issues

- We don't know the effect of
 - Sample Size
 - Dimension
- We also don't understand bias or variance

Strategy of Paper II

- Define a stochastic process to model observations in sphere for some low dimensional density.
- Define a MLE for the dimension parameter of the process.
- Examine statistical properties of the estimator.

Step 1: Define the Process

- N(t,x) = number of points in a sphere of radius t around point x
- We approximate this with a Poisson process
- The rate of this process depends on D!

Step 2: Define the MLE

- MLEs infer values of parameters of underlying process.
- Build an MLE for D

$$\left[\frac{1}{k-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\log\frac{T_k(x)}{T_j(x)}\right]^{-1}$$

- Average over all points
- Average over a range of k

Step 3: Discuss Properties of MLE

- Expected value of MLE = D
- Variance = $D^2/(k-3)$
- These are asymptotic for k and sample size











- Written by Statisticians

• GOOD

• BAD

- Absolutely no appeal to intuition

