# Normal Gamma model

Kevin P. Murphy murphyk@cs.ubc.ca

## Last updated October 1, 2007

## **0.1 Normal-Gamma model**

In this section, we consider the case where the mean and precision are both unknown. We just state the results without proofs. Derivations may be found in [Mur07]. First we introduce two useful distributions.

## **0.1.1 Gamma distribution**

The gamma distribution is a flexible distribution for positive real valued rv's,  $x > 0$ . It is defined in terms of two parameters. There are two common parameterizations. This is the one used by Bishop [Bis06] (and many other authors):

$$
Ga(x|\text{shape} = a, \text{rate} = b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-xb}, \quad x, a, b > 0 \tag{1}
$$

The second parameterization (and the one used by Matlab's gampdf) is

$$
Ga(x|\text{shape} = \alpha, \text{scale} = \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x/\beta}
$$
 (2)

Note that the shape parameter controls the shape; the scale parameter merely defines the measurement scale (the horizontal axis). The rate parameter is just the inverse of the scale. See Figure 1 for some examples. This distribution has the following properties (using the rate parameterization):

$$
\text{mean} = \frac{a}{b} \tag{3}
$$

$$
\text{mode} = \frac{a-1}{b} \text{ for } a \ge 1 \tag{4}
$$

$$
var = \frac{a}{b^2} \tag{5}
$$

#### **0.1.2 Student** t **distribution**

The generalized t-distribution is given as

$$
t_{\nu}(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = c \left[1 + \frac{1}{\nu}(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})^2\right]^{-(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}
$$
(6)

$$
c = \frac{\Gamma(\nu/2 + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu \pi} \sigma} \tag{7}
$$

where c is the normalization consant.  $\mu$  is the mean,  $\nu > 0$  is the **degrees of freedom**, and  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is the scale. (Note that the  $\nu$  parameter is written as a subscript.)

The distribution has the following properties:

$$
\text{mean} = \mu, \nu > 1 \tag{8}
$$

$$
\text{mode} = \mu \tag{9}
$$

$$
\text{var} = \frac{\nu \sigma^2}{(\nu - 2)}, \quad \nu > 2 \tag{10}
$$



*Figure 1:* Some  $Ga(a, b)$  distributions. If  $a < 1$ , the peak is at 0. As we increase b, we squeeze everything leftwards and upwards. Figures generated by gammaDistPlot2.

Note: if  $x \sim t_{\nu}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then

$$
\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \sim t_{\nu} \tag{11}
$$

which corresponds to a standard t-distribution with  $\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$  (Matlab's tpdf):

$$
t_{\nu}(x) = \frac{\Gamma((\nu+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\nu \pi} \Gamma(\nu/2)} (1+x^2/\nu)^{-(\nu+1)/2}
$$
\n(12)

In Figure 2, we plot the density for different parameter values. T-distributions are like Gaussian distributions with **heavy tails**. Hence they are more robust to outliers (see Figure 3). As  $\nu \to \infty$ , the T approaches a Gaussian.

If  $\nu = 1$ , this is called a **Cauchy distribution**. This is an interesting distribution since if  $X \sim Cauchy$ , then  $E[X]$ does not exist, since the corresponding integral diverges. Essentially this is because the tails are so heavy that samples from the distribution can get very far from the center  $\mu$ .

It can be shown that the t-distribution is like an infinite sum of Gaussians, where each Gaussian has a different variance [Arc05, p111]:

$$
t_{\nu}(x|\mu, \lambda^{-1}) = \int_0^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, (u\lambda)^{-1})Ga(u|\text{shape} = \frac{\nu}{2}, \text{rate} = \frac{\nu}{2})du
$$
 (13)

(See exercise 2.46 of [Bis06].)

#### **0.2 Likelihood**

The likelihood can be written in this form

$$
p(D|\mu,\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \lambda^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2\right)
$$
 (14)

$$
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \lambda^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \left[ n(\mu - \overline{x})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \right] \right) \tag{15}
$$



*Figure 2:* Student t-distributions  $T_{\nu}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for  $\mu = 0$ . The effect of  $\sigma$  is just to scale the horizontal axis. As  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution approaches a Gaussian. See studentTplot.



*Figure 3:* Fitting a Gaussian and a Student distribution to some data (left) and to some data with outliers (right). The Student distribution (red) is much less affected by outliers than the Gaussian (green). Source: [Bis06] Figure 2.16.



*Figure 4:* Some Normal-Gamma distributions. Produced by NGplot2.

## **0.3 Prior**

The conjugate prior is the **normal-Gamma**:

=

$$
NG(\mu, \lambda | \mu_0, \kappa_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{N}(\mu | \mu_0, (\kappa_0 \lambda)^{-1}) Ga(\lambda | \alpha_0, \text{rate} = \beta_0)
$$
 (16)

$$
= \frac{1}{Z_{NG}(\mu_0, \kappa_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa_0 \lambda}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2\right) \lambda^{\alpha_0 - 1} e^{-\lambda \beta_0} \tag{17}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{Z_{NG}} \lambda^{\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \left[\kappa_0 (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + 2\beta_0\right]\right) \tag{18}
$$

$$
Z_{NG}(\mu_0, \kappa_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0)}{\beta_0^{\alpha_0}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\kappa_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
(19)

See Figure 4 for some plots.

## **0.4 Posterior**

The posterior is

$$
p(\mu, \lambda | D) = NG(\mu, \lambda | \mu_n, \kappa_n, \alpha_n, \beta_n)
$$
\n(20)

$$
\mu_n = \frac{\kappa_0 \mu_0 + n\overline{x}}{\kappa_0 + n} \tag{21}
$$

$$
\kappa_n = \kappa_0 + n \tag{22}
$$

$$
\alpha_n = \alpha_0 + n/2 \tag{23}
$$

$$
\beta_n = \beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2 + \frac{\kappa_0 n(\overline{x} - \mu_0)^2}{2(\kappa_0 + n)} \tag{24}
$$

 $\sum_i (x_i - \overline{x})^2$ , and a term due to the discrepancy between the prior mean and sample mean. As can be seen from We see that the posterior sum of squares,  $\beta_n$ , combines the prior sum of squares,  $\beta_0$ , the sample sum of squares, Figure 4, the range of probable values for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  can be quite large even after for moderate n. Keep this picture in mind whenever someones claims to have "fit a Gaussian" to their data.

The posterior marginals are

$$
p(\lambda|D) = Ga(\lambda|\alpha_n, \beta_n) \tag{25}
$$

$$
p(\mu|D) = T_{2\alpha_n}(\mu|\mu_n, \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n \kappa_n})
$$
\n(26)

## **0.5 Marginal likelihood**

$$
p(D) = \frac{Z_n}{Z_0} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \tag{27}
$$

$$
= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \frac{\beta_0^{\alpha_0}}{\beta_n^{\alpha_n}} \left(\frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{-n/2}
$$
\n(28)

#### **0.6 Posterior predictive**

$$
p(x|D) = t_{2\alpha_n}(x|\mu_n, \frac{\beta_n(\kappa_n + 1)}{\alpha_n \kappa_n})
$$
\n(29)

## **References**

[Arc05] C. Archamebau. *Probabilistic models in noisy environments*. PhD thesis, U. Catholique de Louvain, Machine learning group, 2005.

[Bis06] C. Bishop. *Pattern recognition and machine learning*. Springer, 2006.

[Mur07] K. Murphy. Conjugate bayesian analysis of the gaussian distribution. Technical report, UBC, 2007.