CS340 Machine learning Decision theory

1

From beliefs to actions

- We have briefly discussed ways to compute p(y|x), where y represents the unknown state of nature (eg. does the patient have lung cancer, breast cancer or no cancer), and x are some observable features (eg., symptoms)
- We now discuss: what action a should we take (eg. surgery or no surgery)?
- Define a loss function L(y,a)

		None	Lung	Breast
а	Surgery	100	20	10
	No surgery	0	50	50

• Pick the action with minimum expected loss (risk)

$$a^*(x) = \arg\min_a \sum_y p(y|x)L(y,a)$$

Loss/ utility functions, policies

- In statistics, we use loss functions L. In economics, we use utility functions U. Clearly U=-L.
- The principle of maximum expected utility says the optimal (rational) action is

$$a^*(x) = \arg\max_a \sum_x p(y|x)U(y,a)$$

 A decision procedure δ(x) or policy π(x) is a mapping from X to A, which specifies which action to perform for every possible observed feature vector x.

Bayes decision rule

The conditional risk (expected loss conditioned on x) is

$$R(a|x) = \sum_{y} p(y|x)L(y,a)$$

• The optimal strategy (Bayes decision rule) is


 $\pi(x) = \arg\min_{a} R(a|x)$

• The Bayes risk is the expected performance of the optimal strategy

$$r = \int dx \sum_{y} L(y, \pi(x)) p(x, y)$$

Sequential decision problems

- In general we need to reason about the consequences of our actions.
- This is beyond the scope of this class (see e.g. CS422). We focus on one-shot decision problems.



Classification problems


- In classification problems, the action space A is usually taken to be the same as the label space Y.
- We interpret the action a as our best guess about the true label y. The loss matrix defines the penalties for getting the answer wrong.

	None	Lung	Breast
None	0	100	100
Lung	50	0	10
Breast	50	10	0

y

Binary classification problems

- Let Y=1 be 'positive' (eg cancer present) and Y=2 be 'negative' (eg cancer absent).
- The loss/ cost matrix has 4 numbers:



Optimal strategy for binary classification

• We should pick class/ label/ action 1 if

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha_2 | \mathbf{x}) &> R(\alpha_1 | \mathbf{x}) \\ \lambda_{21} p(Y = 1 | \mathbf{x}) + \lambda_{22} p(Y = 2 | \mathbf{x}) &> \lambda_{11} p(Y = 1 | \mathbf{x}) + \lambda_{12} p(Y = 2 | \mathbf{x}) \\ (\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11}) p(Y = 1 | \mathbf{x}) &> (\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22}) p(Y = 2 | \mathbf{x}) \\ \frac{p(Y = 1 | \mathbf{x})}{p(Y = 2 | \mathbf{x})} &> \frac{\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22}}{\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11}} \end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed λ_{21} (FN) > λ_{11} (TP)

• As we vary our loss function, we simply change the optimal threshold θ on the decision rule

$$\pi(x) = 1$$
 iff $\frac{p(Y=1|x)}{p(Y=2|x)} > \theta$

0-1 loss

 If the loss function penalizes misclassification errors equally

ystate 2 1 1 0 1 λ_{11} λ_{12} action \hat{y} 2 1 0 λ_{21} λ_{22}

• then we should pick the most probable class

$$\pi(x) = 1 \iff \frac{p(Y = 1 | \mathbf{x})}{p(Y = 2 | \mathbf{x})} > \frac{\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22}}{\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11}} = \frac{1 - 0}{1 - 0} = 1$$

• In general, for 0-1 loss and multiple classes,


$$\pi(x) = \arg\max_{j} p(Y = j|x)$$

Reject option

• Suppose we can choose between incurring loss λ_s if we make a misclassification (label substitution) error and loss λ_r if we declare the action "don't know"


$$\lambda(\alpha_i|Y=j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i=j \text{ and } i, j \in \{1, \dots, C\} \\ \lambda_r & \text{if } i=C+1 \\ \lambda_s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• In HW2, you will show that the optimal action is to pick "don't know" if the most probable class is below a threshold $1-\lambda_r/\lambda_s$



Discriminant functions

 The optimal strategy π(x) partitions X into decision regions R_i, defined by discriminant functions g_i(x)



Binary discriminant functions

 In the 2 class case, we define the discriminant in terms of the log-odds ratio

$$g(x) = g_1(x) - g_2(x) = \log p(Y = 1|x) - \log p(Y = 2|x) = \log \frac{p(Y = 1|x)}{p(Y = 2|x)}$$

Do we need probabilistic classifiers?

One popular approach to ML is to learn the classification function π(x) = f(x,w) directly, bypassing the need to estimate p(y|x)

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w} \sum_{n} L(y_n, f(x_n, w))$$

- However, having access to p(y|x) is useful because
 - Modular no need to relearn if change L
 - Can use reject option
 - Can combine different p(y|x)'s
 - Can compensate for different class priors p(y)
 - Scientific discovery (inference) often involves examining typical samples from p(y|x), rather than decision making.

ROC curves

• The optimal threshold for a binary detection problem depends on the loss function

$$\pi(x) = 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{p(Y=1|\mathbf{x})}{p(Y=2|\mathbf{x})} > \frac{\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22}}{\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11}}$$

- Low threshold will give rise to many false positives (Y=1) and high threshold to many false negatives.
- A receive operating characteristic (ROC) curves plots the true positive rate vs false positive rate as we vary θ

Definitions


Declare x_n to be a positive if p(y=1|x_n)>θ, otherwise declare it to be negative (y=2)

$$\hat{y}_n = 1 \iff p(y = 1 | x_n) > \theta$$

• Define the number of true positives as


$$TP = \sum_{n} I(\hat{y}_n = 1 \land y_n = 1)$$

• Similarly for FP, TN, FN – all functions of θ



 $P = TP + FN, \ N = FP + TN$

Performance measures



Sensitivity = recall = True pos rate = hit rate = TP / P = 1-FNR


False pos rate = false acceptance = = type I error rate = FP / N = 1-spec

False neg rate = false rejection = type II error rate = FN / P = 1-TPR

Specificity = TN / N = 1-FPR


Performance measures

- EER- Equal error rate/ cross over error rate (false pos rate = false neg rate), smaller is better
- AUC Area under curve, larger is better
- Accuracy = (TP+TN)/(P+N)




Precision-recall curves

- Useful when notion of "negative" (and hence FPR) is not defined
- Used to evaluate retrieval engines
- Recall = of those that exist, how many did you find?
- Precision = of those that you found, how many correct?



ROC vs PR curves




Loss functions for regression

- Regression means predicting $y \in \mathbb{R}$; classification means predicting a discrete output $y \in \{1, 2, ..., C\}$
- The most common loss is squared error

 $L(y, f(x|\theta)) = (y - f(x|\theta))^2$

• The residual sum of squares is



Minimizing squared error

• The expected loss is

$$EL = \int \int (y - f(x))^2 p(x, y) dx dy$$

• Let us discretize x and optimize this wrt f_x

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial f_x} E[L] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial f_x} \int dy \, \sum_x (y - f_x)^2 p(x, y) \\ &= \int dy \, 2(y - f_x) p(x, y) \\ &= 0 \Rightarrow \\ f_x p(x) &= \int dy \, y \, p(x, y) \\ f_x &= E[y|x] \end{aligned}$$


21

Hence to minimize squared error, we should compute the posterior mean E[y|x]

Robust loss functions

- Square error (L2) is sensitive to outliers
- It is common to use L1 instead.
- In general, Lp loss is defined as

 $L_p(y, \hat{y}) = |y - \hat{y}|^p$



Minimizing robust loss functions

- For L2 loss, mean p(y|x)
- For L1 loss, median p(y|x)
- For L0 loss, mode p(y|x)