## Pearl's algorithm for vector Gaussian Bayes Nets

Kevin Murphy

14 March 2002

#### 1 Introduction

In [Pea88], Pearl gives the equations for belief propagation in a directed graphical model in which all nodes are scalar Gaussians. The generalization to vector-value nodes can be found in [Ala96]. We state, without proof, the results of [Ala96], with a few modifications. In particular, we use the information (canonical) form to represent  $\lambda$  messages, so that we don't try to invert matrices that might be uninvertible. This problem arises because  $\lambda$  messages, just like  $\beta$  in the forwards-backwards algorithm, represent conditional likelihoods, not probability distributions  $\pi$  messages, by contrast, represent probability distributions, and can be represented in moment form (using a mean and covariance matrix).

Another issue that arises is that the covariance matrix for a  $\lambda$  message from a perfectly observed node is 0, i.e., the precision is infinite. This cannot be represented in information (canonical) form, because  $z = \Sigma^{-1}x$  does not exist. Hence we must represent this special case in moment form. However, it is straightforward to manipulate this "delta function", as we show below.

#### 2 Computing bel

Alag Eqn 2.38, Pearl Eqn 7.22.

$$\mathrm{bel}_X(\Sigma,\overline{x}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{compute\text{-}bel}(\pi_X(\Sigma_\pi,\overline{x}_\pi),\lambda_X(\Sigma_\lambda^{-1},\overline{z}_\lambda))$$

where  $\overline{z}_{\lambda} = \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} \overline{x}_{\lambda}$ . If  $\pi_X$  has infinite variance, we set  $\text{bel}_X = (\Sigma_{\lambda}, \overline{x}_{\lambda})$ . If  $\lambda_X$  has infinite variance, we set  $\text{bel}_X = (\Sigma_{\pi}, \overline{x}_{\pi})$ . If  $\lambda_X$  is a delta function, we set  $\text{bel}_X = (0, x^*)$ , where  $x^*$  is the observed value of X. Otherwise we proceed as follows.

$$\Sigma = \left(\Sigma_{\pi}^{-1} + \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\overline{x} = \Sigma(\Sigma_{\pi}^{-1} \overline{x}_{\pi} + \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} \overline{x}_{\lambda})$$

#### 3 Computing $\pi$

Alag eqn 2-36, Pearl eqn 7.21.

Let  $P(X|U_1,...,U_n) = \mathcal{N}(X; \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i U_i, Q)$ . Call all the parameters  $\theta_X$ . Let the  $\pi$  message sent from  $U_i$  to X be  $\pi_i(\Sigma_i, \overline{u_i^+})$ .

$$\pi_X(\Sigma_{\pi}, \overline{x}_{\pi}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{compute-pi}(\{\pi_i\}, \theta_X)$$

$$\Sigma_{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \Sigma_i B_i^T + Q$$

$$\overline{x}_{\pi} = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \overline{u}_i^+$$

#### 4 Computing $\lambda$

We define the following subroutine which multiplies together a set of  $\lambda$  messages, excluding any in the set E (Alag eqn 2-28):

$$\lambda(\Sigma^{-1}, \overline{z}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{prod-lamdba-msgs}(\{\lambda_j\}, E)$$

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \sum_{j \notin E} \Sigma_j^{-1}$$

$$\overline{z} = \sum_{j \notin E} z_j$$

If the  $\lambda$  message from self is a delta function, we simply pass it on, representing complete certainty (all the  $\lambda$  messages from the other children are ignored).

Since  $\lambda_X(x) = \prod_{j \in \operatorname{ch}(X)} \lambda_j(x)$ , we have (Pearl eqn 7.20; different from Alag eqn 2-37):

$$\lambda_X(\Sigma_\lambda^{-1},\overline{z}_\lambda) = \text{prod-lamdba-msgs}(\{\lambda_j\},\emptyset)$$

### 5 Computing the $\pi$ messages X sends to its children

Since  $\pi_j(x) = \text{bel}(X|e_j^- = \emptyset)$ , we have

$$\pi_j(\Sigma, \overline{x}^+) = \text{compute-bel}(\pi_X, \text{prod-lamdba-msgs}(\{\lambda_j\}, j))$$

# 6 Computing the $\lambda$ messages X sends to its parents

First we present the result in the non-information form (Alag eqn 2-46).

$$\lambda_i(\Sigma_i, \overline{x}_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{compute-lambda-msg}(\lambda_X, \{\pi_k\}, \theta_X)$$

Covariance:

$$\Sigma_i = (B_i^T C B_i)^{-1}$$

where

$$C = \left(\Sigma_{\lambda} + Q + \sum_{k \neq i} B_k \Sigma_k B_k^T\right)^{-1}$$

Mean:

$$\overline{x}_i = \Sigma_i B_i^T C(\overline{x}_{\lambda} - u)$$

where

$$u = \mu + \sum_{k \neq i} B_k \overline{u}_k^+$$

In the special case of scalar nodes, we can use a simpler form of 2-24 to rewrite the mean as follows, which corresponds to Pearl Eqn 7.24.

$$\overline{x}_i = B_i^{-1}(\overline{x}_{\lambda} - u)$$

If  $\lambda_X$  is a delta function, we can use the above form by simply setting  $\Sigma_{\lambda} = 0$  and  $\overline{x}_{\lambda} = x^*$ , the observed value.

Unfortunately, the above assumes that  $\Sigma_{\lambda}$  and  $\overline{x}_{\lambda}$  can be computed. We can lift this assumption by using the matrix inversion lemma (Alag Eqn 2-2), which, in its simplest form, is

$$(P_1^{-1} + P_2^{-1})^{-1} = P_1 - P_1(P_1 + P_2)^{-1}P_1$$

Using this, we can rewrite C as follows:

$$C = \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} - \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} A \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1}$$

where

$$A = \left(\Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} + (Q + \sum_{k \neq i} B_k \Sigma_k B_k^T)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

Hence

$$\Sigma_i^{-1} = B_i^T C B_i$$

and

$$\begin{split} \overline{z}_i &= \Sigma_i^{-1} \overline{x}_i \\ &= B_i^T C(\overline{x}_{\lambda} - u) \\ &= B_i^T \left( \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} \overline{x}_{\lambda} - \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} A \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} \overline{x}_{\lambda} - \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} u + \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} A \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} u \right) \\ &= B_i^T \left( (I - \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} A) \overline{z}_{\lambda} - (I - \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} A) \Sigma_{\lambda}^{-1} u \right) \end{split}$$

### References

- [Ala96] S. Alag. A Bayesian Decision-Theoretic Framework for Real-Time Monitoring and Diagnosis of Complex Systems: Theory and Application. PhD thesis, U.C. Berkeley, Dept. Mech. Eng., 1996.
- [Pea88] J. Pearl. Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems: Networks of Plausible Inference. Morgan Kaufmann, 1988.